



Impact of Malaysian palm oil on sustainable development goals: co-benefits and trade-offs across mitigation strategies

Khayriyyah Mohd Hanafiah^{1,4} · Aini Hasanah Abd Mutalib^{1,2} · Priscillia Miard¹ · Chun Sheng Goh³ · Shahrul Anuar Mohd Sah¹ · Nadine Ruppert¹ 

Received: 22 March 2021 / Accepted: 28 September 2021 / Published online: 15 October 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Japan KK, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Palm oil (PO) is an important source of livelihood, but unsustainable practices and widespread consumption may threaten human and planetary health. We reviewed 234 articles and summarized evidence on the impact of PO on health, social and economic aspects, environment, and biodiversity in the Malaysian context, and discuss mitigation strategies based on the sustainable development goals (SDGs). The evidence on health impact of PO is equivocal, with knowledge gaps on whether moderate consumption elevates risk for chronic diseases, but the benefits of phytonutrients (SDG2) and sensory characteristics of PO seem offset by its high proportion of saturated fat (SDG3). While PO contributes to economic growth (SDG9, 12), poverty alleviation (SDG1, 8, 10), enhanced food security (SDG2), alternative energy (SDG9), and long-term employment opportunities (SDG1), human rights issues and inequities attributed to PO production persist (SDG8). Environmental impacts arise through large-scale expansion of monoculture plantations associated with increased greenhouse gas emissions (SDG13), especially from converted carbon-rich peat lands, which can cause forest fires and annual trans-boundary haze; changes in microclimate properties and soil nutrient content (SDG6, 13); increased sedimentation and change of hydrological properties of streams near slopes (SDG6); and increased human wildlife conflicts, increase of invasive species occurrence, and reduced biodiversity (SDG14, 15). Practices such as biological pest control, circular waste management, multi-cropping and certification may mitigate negative impacts on environmental SDGs, without hampering progress of socioeconomic SDGs. While strategies focusing on improving practices within and surrounding plantations offer co-benefits for socioeconomic, environment and biodiversity-related SDGs, several challenges in achieving scalable solutions must be addressed to ensure holistic sustainability of PO in Malaysia for various stakeholders.

Keywords Palm oil · Biodiversity · Poverty · Health · Sustainable Development Goals · Mitigation

Handled by Suiliang Huang, Nankai University, China.

✉ Nadine Ruppert
n.ruppert@usm.my

- ¹ School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Penang, Malaysia
- ² Institute of Tropical Biodiversity and Sustainable Development, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia
- ³ Jeffrey Cheah Institute on Southeast Asia, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor, Malaysia
- ⁴ Life Sciences, Macfarlane Burnet Institute, Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia

Introduction

The African oil palm (OP) *Elaeis guineensis* is a vital source of edible oil, derived from its mesocarp and kernel. Malaysia (26%) and Indonesia (58%) are the largest global palm oil (PO) producers, which together accounted for 84% of globally produced PO in 2021 (USDA 2021). These countries are also global emerging economies that belong to the world's biodiversity hotspots (Myers et al. 2000). Hence, while the rise and expansion of OP as a cash crop has fueled the industry and economy (Qaim et al. 2020; Karki et al. 2018), it has come at significant costs to the region's rainforests and biodiversity (Qaim et al. 2020; Vijay et al. 2016), including violations of land rights towards indigenous peoples (Buckland 2005; Sheil et al. 2009). These drawbacks have led to

calls for boycotts, anti-PO campaigns and governmental policies, particularly from the European Union, North America, Australia, and New Zealand (Walden 2019). Additionally, the use of PO is debated due to perceived and documented adverse effects on human health, both on nutritional aspects of widespread dietary consumption, and societal aspects in terms of rights of workers and local communities living in plantation landscapes (Qaim et al. 2020).

Arguably, any crop that is cultivated unsustainably inflicts damage to ecosystems and biodiversity due to deforestation, excessive fertilizer usage, pesticide runoffs and negative effect of monocropping on soil and ecosystem resilience (Asher 2019). This was seen in the cultivation of other major crops, such as the rampant deforestation for cattle soy-feed in the Amazon between 1996–2005 or the toxic algae bloom of Lake Erie in 2014 culminating from decades of pesticide and fertilizer runoff from corn crops (Macedo et al. 2012; Nepstad et al. 2014). However, blanket measures to address negative impacts of PO production may undermine the complexity of issues surrounding OP cultivation and the PO industry, and hamper concerted international efforts towards achieving various Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (Hinkes and Christoph-Schulz 2020).

While negative impacts of excessive consumption and unsustainable agricultural practices seem indubitable, what constitutes as sustainable practices and their ability to mitigate associated negative issues is less well-defined, exposing significant knowledge gaps in efforts to develop more effective sustainability policies for PO. Thus, this review aims to (1) summarize the available evidence on the impact of PO production and consumption on human health, social and economic aspects, environment, and biodiversity in the Malaysian context, and (2) discuss efforts to mitigate negative impacts and identify SDG-related co-benefits and trade-offs of different mitigation strategies.

Impact of Malaysian palm oil

The impact of PO was summarized based on a systematic search using PRISMA (<http://www.prisma-statement.org/>) guidelines, with manual addition of relevant contextual articles and reports resulting in a total of 276 full texts reviewed (Supplement, Figure S1). The various impacts of PO were categorized broadly under health, socioeconomics, environment, and biodiversity (in the Malaysian context), and then mapped to specific SDG keywords (Fonseca et al. 2020).

Nutritional impact of palm oil consumption

Palm oil is used ubiquitously as cooking oil in different Asian and West African cuisines because it is tasteless, odorless, and has a high smoke-point, which makes it safe for

re-frying (Boateng et al. 2016). Due to its naturally occurring partially hydrogenated fats (Magri et al. 2015), PO results in crunchiness, palatability, and preservation properties in manufacturing (Di Genova et al. 2018), without posing the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) associated with industrially produced partially hydrogenated vegetable oils (Odia 2015; Magri et al. 2015). As such, PO-derivatives are commonly found in biscuits, noodles, and bakery products worldwide (Boateng et al. 2016). The health effects of widespread PO consumption remain contentious. Some studies cite adverse effects of saturated fats (Kadandale et al. 2019), while others tout protective effects on cardiovascular health, antidiabetic and anticancer properties, and reproductive health improvement (Giri and Bhatia 2020; Ibrahim et al. 2020a, b) due to PO-derived phytonutrients such as tocotrienols and carotenoids, which is converted into an important micronutrient, Vitamin A.

Phytonutrients and oxidative stability (SDG2)

Red PO (RPO) is known to possess pro-vitamin A activity (Loganathan et al. 2017) and used in vitamin A fortification programs due to its resistance to oxidation and stability (Pignitter et al. 2016). However, RPO trials for preventing Vitamin A deficiency, a key factor in preventable blindness and severe infection in low-income countries, have garnered inconsistent results, with some reporting RPO supplementation effective, and others concluding a lack of significant effects (Dong et al. 2017). Nevertheless, compared to RPO, which retains 80% of its vitamins and carotenoids, most PO consumed as cooking oil or in processed food is refined, bleached, and contains less phytonutrients.

Palm oil also contains relatively high amounts of tocotrienols, a form of vitamin E known to scavenge free radicals for prevention of pathologies (Jegade et al. 2015). Animal studies on PO tocotrienol rich fraction (TRF) suggest beneficial effects such as prevention of bone loss (Wong et al. 2018), amelioration of Alzheimer's related behavior and cognitive impairments (Durani et al. 2018), and improvement in antioxidant levels through modulation activity of antioxidant enzymes (Nor Azman et al. 2018). However, these benefits have not been convincingly observed in human trials such as those on TRF treatment in diabetes (Tan et al. 2018).

Saturated fats and contaminants (SDG3)

Adverse health impacts of PO are related to its saturated fatty acid (SFA) content, whereby the carbon chain “saturated” with hydrogen purportedly increases low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, reduces fat oxidation (Yajima et al. 2018), and elevates risk of CVD. However, the majority of SFA in PO is palmitic acid (44%), known to be less potent in raising LDL cholesterol, unlike lauric and myristic

acids, which are present in trace amounts (Magri et al. 2015; Boateng et al. 2016).

The association between PO carbon chain saturation and increased risk of CVD is subject to debate. Some claim unsaturation (at the sn-2 position) alters PO characteristics to mimic monounsaturated oils such as olive oil, instead of harmful saturated animal fats (Sin Teh et al. 2018). Others claim that the degree of saturation has a greater effect on blood lipid concentration than the positional distribution of SFAs (Sun et al. 2015). A randomized trial studying the effects of hybrid PO supplementation on human plasma lipid patterns concluded that effects on plasma lipids were comparable to extra virgin olive oil, which is typically consumed for its protective effects on cardiovascular health (Lucci et al. 2016), although notably, the study evaluated crude HPO (from *E. guineensis* and *E. oleifera*), which differs from refined PO in its content of vitamin E and carotenoids.

In animal studies including murids and fish, high-fat diets of PO and/or consumption of polar compounds from deep-frying of PO were associated with elevated levels of LDL, triglycerides (Sales et al. 2019; Larbi et al. 2018), and alkaline phosphatase-induced liver lipid accumulation (Janssens et al. 2015), changes in offspring's adipose tissue in adult life (Magri et al. 2015), and impaired glucose tolerance (Li et al. 2017). Conversely, PO supplementation in sheep feed has been shown to reduce SFA content, thus increasing mono- and polyunsaturated fatty acid content in sheep milk (Bianchi et al. 2017).

The evidence appears more equivocal in humans, especially under conditions of regular consumption. A systematic review by Ismail et al. (2018) challenged the link between PO consumption and the elevation of LDL concluding the lack of strong evidence for increased risk of CVD (Ismail et al. 2018), while an earlier systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials by Sun et al. (2015) concluded that PO consumption results in higher LDL cholesterol compared to vegetable oils low in saturated fat, but results in higher HDL cholesterol compared to trans-fat-containing oils in humans. These reviews are notably limited by a small number of studies and publication biases based on funding source, widespread consumption, and difficulty to single out PO from other food items (Ismail et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2015). A recent randomized controlled-feeding trial in healthy normocholesterolemic adults showed that butter raised LDL cholesterol higher than palm stearin regardless of background consumption of carbohydrate and fat (Hyde et al. 2021). Thus far, the oft-cited negative effects of saturated fats from PO remains inconclusive.

Finally, processing and manufacturing issues, especially for non-branded oils known to contain less favorable SFA composition (Aung et al. 2018) and increased PO contaminants pose additional health risks. However, such risks

may be mitigated with improved industry standards and regulation (Di Genova et al. 2018). Ultimately, consumption of PO, especially in a healthy balanced diet, does not appear to pose significant elevated risk for chronic diseases such as CVD, diabetes, and cancer in adult or pediatric populations (Odia 2015; Di Genova et al. 2018; Mancini et al. 2015; Marangoni et al. 2017; Bronsky et al. 2019). Reducing PO consumption by 50% is predicted to only have a relatively small impact on health (Jensen et al. 2019), unless it is part of a broader dietary and nutritional strategy to achieve significant improvements in health.

Social and economic impacts of the palm oil industry

National economic growth (SDG9, 12)

Most plantations in Malaysia are large-scale plantations with 61% of these plantations belonging to private estates, 22% governed under governmental schemes (half of which, belong to smallholders (van Leeuwen 2019), i.e., family-based plantations of less than 50 ha) and 17% owned by independent smallholders (MPOB 2017). Smallholders produce PO independently and sell their produce directly to a mill or through various governmental schemes (RSPO 2018). More than 300,000 smallholders, including farmers of indigenous tribes both in East and West Malaysia, contribute to more than 18 Mio t of annually exported PO (Hamid et al. 2013). Although, OP agriculture is commonly associated with Borneo, in 2017 Peninsular Malaysia contributed a larger fraction of Malaysia's total PO products (ca. 52%) (MPOB 2018; Shevade and Loboda 2019).

Approximately 30% of all globally produced vegetable oils is PO, accounting for two thirds of exported oils by volume (Shevade and Loboda 2019). Increasing demand from China and India stimulated the rapid growth of the PO industry, with Malaysia supplying 44% of globally exported PO (MPOB 2017; Shevade and Loboda 2019; Brandi et al. 2015). Through a series of policies, including the implementation of New Key Economic Areas for PO (Jomo and Rock 1998; Pemandu 2010), Malaysia has further fostered domestic PO refining businesses, achieving a refining capacity of 26.5 Mio t pa exceeding its annual CPO production (MPOB 2020). The PO sector also draws foreign investments to Malaysia (Mekhilef et al. 2011; Shevade and Loboda 2019) and earnings through corporate taxes (Mahat 2012). Since 2008, the industry contributed ca. MYR65.2bil (ca. USD16bil) in exports (Mekhilef et al. 2011; MPOB 2017), and by 2015, PO contributed to 4.2% of Malaysia's GDP (Shevade and Loboda 2019), and currently 37.7% of agricultural GDP (DOSM 2020).

Poverty alleviation and food security (SDG1, 8, 10)

Since the 1950s, the PO industry has been a catalyst for development (Awang Ali et al. 2011; Arif and Tengku Mohd Ariff 2001; Mahat 2012), becoming a major employer and key contributor to rural growth in Malaysia (Feintrenie et al. 2010; Rist et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2014; Castiblanco et al. 2015; Gatto et al. 2017; Choiruzzad 2019; Arif and Tengku Mohd Ariff 2001). Since 1956, progressive land expansion schemes led by the Federal Land Development Agency (FELDA) aimed to develop plantation land for the landless and rural poor Malays (Teoh 2002) and increased smallholder income from traditional crops (Awang Ali et al. 2011; Mahat 2012). With ca. 85 k ha of developed land and resettling of ca. 110 k families (Ahmad Tarmizi 2008), FELDA has contributed to poverty alleviation amongst settlers with the reported average monthly household income of FELDA smallholders increased from MYR1338 in 2006 to MYR3000 in 2010, exceeding the national poverty limit of MYR720 per month (Ahmad Tarmizi 2008).

The PO industry employs approximately 2.3 million people in Malaysia (Mahat 2012), contributing to Malaysia's low unemployment rate of ca. 3.4%, which only recently increased to 4.6% due to the COVID-19 pandemic (DOSM 2021). Insufficient local labor supply has also led to an influx of one million foreign workers from neighboring developing countries who are mainly employed in plantations and mills (Basiron 2011), including smallholder plantations (Awang Ali et al. 2011) but recruitment activities in the PO industry have stalled since the pandemic. The plantation sector employs more than 70% foreign workers and the ongoing national and international travel restrictions imposed to curb the impacts of the pandemic have caused labor shortages by 500,000 workers, resulting in a 3.8% decrease in PO production between 2019 and 2020. The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has also led to a decline of PO exports from 18.5 Mio Mt in 2019 to 17.4 Mio Mt in 2020 (MPOC 2020).

In rural areas with limited job opportunities, government incentives enabled farmers to develop their plantations (Asmit and Koesrindartoto 2018) while simultaneously increasing employment opportunities. The labor-intensive plantation sector only has low level mechanization; thus, workers are required for fruit harvesting, collection, and other fieldworks (Ismail 2013). Growing OP is also a lucrative and popular side crop for farmers of other lower-yielding but government-incentivized agricultural crops such as paddy (Alam et al. 2010). Small growers often practice mixed farming by raising livestock and cultivating fruits and vegetables at the OP plantations, enhancing income opportunities (Ashraf et al. 2018). Consequently, OP cultivation along with mixed agricultural activities are associated with improved standard of living throughout the country, narrowing the income gap between rural and urban workforce

(Mahat 2012; Hamid et al. 2013; Man et al. 2013). However, smallholders in less accessible areas suffer land shortage and encroachment from nearby estates, rendering them more vulnerable to fluctuations in crude PO prices (Azhar et al. 2020).

Poverty and food security are inextricably linked, and the PO industry generally contributes to both increased employment and food security in Malaysia and globally, as PO remains the world's most affordable edible vegetable oil, and a staple in low-middle income countries across Asia, Africa, and the Middle East (Boateng et al. 2016). Improved income arising from agricultural activities such as rubber and OP cultivation, in combination with traditional hunting and gathering activities, appears to be associated with lower risk of malnutrition among certain indigenous tribes, such as the Jah Hut in Pahang (Law et al. 2020). However, OP plantation expansion has negative impact on rural and indigenous food security when land rights of these rural communities are violated (Nesadurai 2013).

Social conflicts and adverse impact on indigenous peoples (SDG8)

Conflicts are common between indigenous peoples in Malaysia and large companies who were granted development permits in forest reserves, while low wages and/or human rights violations of foreign plantation workers continue to tarnish the reputation of the industry (Wan Daud et al. 2020). Oil palm expansion may also contribute to rising inequality among farmers or between communities (Gatto et al. 2017; Cramb and McCarthy 2016), especially when farmers are forced to sell their land, consequently losing means for their own agricultural production (Bou Dib et al. 2018; McCarthy 2010).

In Sabah and Sarawak, most plantations are on steep hills that were converted from agricultural land with slash-and-burn techniques (Wicke 2011). Traditionally, burning of plant material in old plantations to get rid of unwanted plant waste can enhance nutrient availability in depleted soils (Knicker 2007), but this technique has led to dramatic haze problems throughout Southeast Asia (Padfield et al. 2016), and directly reduced the life quality of farmers living in affected areas (Obidzinski et al. 2012). Many communities that depend on ecosystem services such as clean rivers, natural forest products and/or small-scale agricultural activities for income are highly affected by land conversion, as they lose access to these resources (Martin 2017; Awang Ali et al. 2011; Mahat 2012). Furthermore, chemical fertilizers and pesticides used in large-scale plantations may pollute freshwater resources that are essential to indigenous and rural communities (Obidzinski et al. 2012; Bou Dib et al. 2018; Dudgeon et al. 2006).

The emphasis for commodity crops such as OP and rubber and the rapid expansion of plantations and intensive logging may have indirectly contributed toward poverty and heightened vulnerability of some indigenous peoples in Malaysia (Kari et al. 2016; Wan Daud et al. 2020). Barriers in technology and knowledge transfer to indigenous farmers and small growers renders them less empowered to use best practices that can improve yield while minimizing practices with adverse impacts, such as slash and burn for clearing of old OP trees (Rochmyaningsih 2015). Indigenous small growers also suffer more threats from wild animals, given that they tend to cultivate farms close to forests (Law et al. 2018). Such threats to safety often contribute to agricultural failure, and consequent food insecurity among indigenous people.

Impact of oil palm cultivation on the environment and biodiversity

Land use policies in Malaysia are regulated by the state governments. Half of all plantations are planted on state forest land that was previously used for rubber or other uses (Hansen et al. 2014; Padfield et al. 2019). From 2010 to 2018, OP plantations reportedly increased by 5.06 Mha at a growth rate of 83.5%, with growth rates for East and West Malaysia at 109.5% and 62.1%, respectively (Li et al. 2020). The deforestation for new OP plantations between 2001 and 2017 reached 5.98 Mha accounting for 68.2% of the total amount of deforestation in Malaysia for that period (Li et al. 2020). While west Malaysian plantations mostly expanded on former rubber plantations (Barlow 1997), in Sabah and Sarawak, plantation expansion has driven deforestation with 4.2 Mha of old growth forest cleared between 1973 and 2015 (Gaveau et al. 2016). Compared to Indonesia, Malaysia had a more rapid conversion rate of these cleared lands of ca. 60% within a 5-year time frame (Gaveau et al. 2016).

Specifically for peatlands, plantation area reportedly increased by ca. 200 kha between 2003 and 2008 (Edwards et al. 2010), amounting to one-third of the total new plantations, with the majority occurring in Sarawak (Edwards et al. 2010). However, Gunarso et al. (2013) reported a lower estimate of 13% of OP planted on peatland in 2010. Records from a 250 m spatial resolution map showed that more than 800 kha of Malaysian tropical peat swamp have been converted to OP plantations (Koh et al. 2011). Specifically, Sarawak and Sabah had 49.5% and 34.6% of peatlands covered by OP, respectively, in 2015 (Miettinen et al. 2017). However, since 2015, over 90% of internationally traded PO followed producer commitments for the ‘no deforestation and no peat’ policy (Austin et al. 2015; Butler 2015). More recently, Wan Mohd Jaafar et al. (2020) reported declined

conversion of peatland to plantation by 20.5% and 19.1% in Sarawak and Sabah, respectively.

Land use change for agriculture is associated with adverse impacts on the environment through increased greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, soil erosion, and microclimate and regional climate changes, i.e., change in temperature and precipitation, and increased risk of flooding (Gaveau et al. 2014; Uning et al. 2020; Wolf 1996).

Emissions, soil, and climate (SDG6, 13).

Malaysia is the fourth highest carbon emitter from forest degradation with over 140 Mio t CO₂ pa after Indonesia, Brazil and India (Harris et al. 2012; Pearson et al. 2017; Begum et al. 2020). The conversion of forest into OP plantations reduced carbon stocks by over 50% and increased GHG emissions by four times, compared to land converted from old rubber plantations (Kusin et al. 2017). Plantations on peat in Malaysia emit GHG ranging from 12.4 up to 76.6 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ pa (Hashim et al. 2018), with highest emissions recorded in Selangor (65 t C ha⁻¹) in 2006, and the lowest in Sarawak (7 t C ha⁻¹) (Matysek et al. 2018; Melling et al. 2005, 2008, 2013). Cooper et al. (2020) suggest that conversion of peat swamp forest in Malaysia contributes between 16.6 and 27.9% (95% CI) of combined total national GHG emissions. CO₂ release from drained peatland is higher than from mineral soils as peat stocks hold higher quantities of carbon (Choo et al. 2011; Hashim et al. 2018; Page et al. 2011). This loss of stocked carbon increases during the dry season, facilitated by longer and more intense dry seasons associated with current global climate emergency (Matysek et al. 2018). Additionally, plantations on peatlands lead to degradation and fires (Page and Hooijer 2016; Page et al. 2009), which are associated with significant air pollution and threats to human health in the region (Uda et al. 2019; Crippa et al. 2016).

While OP plantations and associated cultivation practices emit up to two times more CO₂ than other crops, they also absorb CO₂ and produce around 18 t O₂ ha⁻¹ pa (Uning et al. 2020). The emission difference between different croplands depends on the type of soil and amount of carbon stocks, drainage and fertilizer use, and methane use at the mills (Hashim et al. 2018). However, CO₂ uptake above OP canopy of 82 t C ha⁻¹ pa was recorded in Sabah and this is reportedly higher than from intact forests (32 t C ha⁻¹ pa) (Fowler et al. 2011; Sharvini et al. 2020), suggesting potential carbon neutrality of OP cultivated lands (Kusin et al. 2017).

Plantations’ nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions are lower than those of primary forests as forest soils are a better source of N₂O than plantations (Yashiro et al. 2007). Newly established OP plantations show slightly higher N₂O emissions than older plantations due to the use of fertilizers and other

environmental factors (Melling et al. 2007; Yashiro et al. 2008), but overall N_2O emission levels seem similar in OP plantations of different ages (Kusin et al. 2017). Consistent with other studies (Holzinger et al. 2002), emissions of isoprene (C_5H_8), CO_2 , and surface ozone (O_3) from OP plantations in Pahang were temperature-dependent, with lower emission during cooler temperatures at night (Uning et al. 2020). Increased NO_x emissions over plantations are caused by fertilizer and PO plant combustion and vehicle exhausts (Uning et al. 2020).

Evapotranspiration processes, but not the amount of precipitation, are impacted by land conversion from forests into OP plantations (Amin et al. 2016). Oil palms planted on steep terrain cause negative hydrological impacts such as increased flooding risk, modification of river ecology, and sedimentation (Nainar et al. 2018; Saadatkah et al. 2016). Soil mineralization rates are similar between OP plantations and forests in Sabah (Hamilton et al. 2016) with approximately 90% reduction of denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation disrupting nitrogen gas (N_2) production. Consequently, high nitrate concentration occurs in ground water of OP plantations, due to the application of N-fertilizers (Sheikhy et al. 2018).

Changes in microclimate directly impact plant growth and soil nutrient processes, with the temperature inside plantations increased by 6.5 °C compared to primary forest in Sabah (Hardwick et al. 2015). The change in regional climate manifests through increased rainfall, temperature, radiation, atmospheric pressure, cloud cover, and a decrease in evaporation, relative humidity, sunshine hours, and wind speed due to intensive land use change in the Kelantan River Basin between 1984 and 2014, which follows trends found across Malaysia (Nurhidayu and Hakeem 2017).

Biodiversity (SDG14, 15)

There is still poor understanding of how species respond to anthropogenic disturbance in tropical primary forests (Silmi et al. 2013; Fitzherbert et al. 2008) but biodiversity decline of different species of arthropods, fish, amphibians, birds, and mammals in Malaysia due to the expansion of plantations into natural habitats is well documented (Vijay et al. 2016; Brandon-Mong et al. 2018). Some indicator species may predict changes in diversity and geographical distinctness in relation to habitat disturbance. For example, fruit-eating butterfly abundance in Sabah was lowest in OP plantations compared to primary and logged-over forest (Koh and Wilcove 2008), and species richness was lower in areas converted from primary or secondary forest to OP plantations compared to conversion from existing rubber to OP plantations (Koh and Wilcove 2008; Hamer et al. 2003). Oil palm plantations in Sabah showed lower species richness of ants compared to riparian reserves and logged forests

(Fayle et al. 2010; Gray et al. 2015). Most ant species in plantations were non-forest species (Brühl and Eltz 2010), suggesting that conversion of forest into plantations results in replacement of native forest ant species with more dominant invasive species. However, when assessing the ratio between regional and local species at a large spatial scale, more common and abundant species of ants were found in OP plantations than forests (Wang and Foster 2015).

Species richness of freshwater fishes was lower in rivers near OP plantations in Bintulu, Sarawak (Kano et al. 2020), and species diversity of amphibians (i.e. order Anura) was lower at OP plantations in Selangor compared to grassland, coconut plantation, and primary forest (Faruk et al. 2013). Similarly, anuran species richness was higher in primary and secondary forest habitats compared with OP plantations in Sabah (Aguilar-León 2020).

Less than 50 species of forest birds were recorded in OP plantations in Sabah demonstrating the lowest diversity compared with primary forest, logged forest and rubber plantation (Koh and Wilcove 2008). The diversity and density of insectivorous and frugivorous bird species were also lowest in OP plantations compared to secondary forests and paddy fields in Kerian River Basin, Peninsular Malaysia, due to lower tree density and basal areas and less fruit availability in plantations (Azman et al. 2011).

A substantial decline of terrestrial mammal abundance in OP plantations compared to nearby forests was reported from Sabah (Wearn et al. 2017; Yue et al. 2015). Although plantations offer food resources to mammals like the Malayan sun bear (Guharajan et al. 2018), camera trap studies recorded their presence in forest patches rather than highly degraded areas such as plantations (Abidin et al. 2018). Sunda clouded leopards appear intolerant to deforestation and forest fragmentation in the Lower Kinabatangan and Kabili-Sepilok areas that are composed of small forest patches embedded with OP plantations (Hearn et al. 2018, 2019) highlighting these as priority areas requiring protection for threatened felid species (Hearn et al. 2016a; b; c).

Conversely, OP plantations hosted the highest number of carnivorous birds including striated, Chinese and Javan pond heron at Kerian River Basin, Perak due to the high availability of prey such as shrews, snakes, and rats (Azman et al. 2011). Plantations may even bring positive effects for selected species, such as the black-shouldered kite, as they provide good vantage positions, shelter from predators and a suitable physical environment for such species (Ramli and Fauzi 2018).

Human–wildlife conflict (SDG15)

Human–wildlife conflict is a main driver of species loss across the globe (Meijaard et al. 2018) usually due to illegal hunting caused by economic constraints, demand for

exotic pets and products, road kills, and culling of crop pests (Azhar et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2011). Wildlife poaching is facilitated by habitat conversion to OP plantations and other agricultural crops (Azhar et al. 2013). Terrestrial animals that are highly dependent on forest, such as elephants, tend to forage in the plantations, which is perceived as raiding due to their destructive feeding habits (Guharajan et al. 2018; Cazzolla Gatti and Velichevskaya 2020). Human–elephant conflicts in plantations in the lower Kinabatangan in Sabah are driven by incidental poaching or revenge killings of elephants by plantation workers, although elephants usually actively seek to avoid humans (Evans et al. 2020). While managing elephant populations surrounding plantations via translocation to other areas appears to be an option, studies suggest that this practice is harmful to their populations. A population viability assessment of Asian elephants in the Endau Rompin landscape showed that even translocating only a few individuals poses risks on the population, suggesting the need for an in-situ management plan at OP plantations to curb human–elephant conflicts (Azhar et al. 2013; Asimopoulos 2016; Saaban et al. 2011).

Efforts to enhance benefits and mitigate adverse impacts

As summarized above, the impact of widespread PO production and consumption on different aspects of SDGs are undeniable (Table 1). However, to effectively expand on positive effects of PO while addressing adverse effects, there is a need to holistically evaluate different mitigation strategies and identify co-benefits and trade-offs on different SDGs. We summarize potential co-benefits and trade-offs of specific mitigation strategies in Fig. 1, proposed based on the evidence discussed below.

Replacing palm oil with a different oil (SDG 1–3, 6–10, 12–15)

The negative perception of PO usually relates to deforestation and replacement of more diverse agricultural or agroforestry systems with these monocultures (Meijaard and Sheil 2019). However, debates about PO by supporters and opponents are often highly polarized. Calls for boycotting PO do not consider the fact that (1) OP is the highest yielding available oil crop requiring eight times less land and producing up to 20 times more oil compared to soybean, canola and sunflower (Low 2019; Woittiez et al. 2017); (2) OP crops may alleviate poverty among rural growers who may have little opportunity for other income-generation, especially in Malaysia (Sheil et al. 2009; Pirker et al. 2016); (3) economic reliance on PO in the region is widespread (Shevade and Loboda 2019); and (4) cultivation practices

are heterogeneous with varying policies and enforcement practices in producer countries affecting impact (Meijaard et al. 2018). On the other hand, OP supporters often do not weigh in the losses of biodiversity and related ecosystem services but instead argue from nationalistic and socioeconomic perspectives (Liu et al. 2020).

Removing or replacing PO globally would likely adversely impact food security to producer and consumer countries (Gro Intelligence 2016) as restrictions would not only affect the demand, consequently reducing livelihood of producers, but also impact the supply of this edible oil, thus, leading to higher prices of cooking oil and consumer goods that would affect other sectors as well. A comparison of five major vegetable oil crops by Beyer et al. (2020) concluded that better management of future growing areas will be more effective at reducing environmental impacts of global vegetable oil production rather than oil crop substitution. Despite OP having larger impact on range-restricted species, OP was estimated to pose the lowest carbon and species richness loss per-ton-oil (Beyer et al. 2020). Thus, removing or replacing PO may lead to higher biodiversity losses in the future as more land needs to be converted to cultivate lower yielding oil crops leading to further habitat destruction (Meijaard et al. 2018).

Policies and regulations (SDG1,6–10,12–15)

Sustainable certification and ‘no deforestation, no peat, no exploitation’ (NDPE) policies

Generally, GHG emissions in Malaysia can be reduced by 4.1 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ pa simply by banning the establishment of new OP plantations on peat soil (Hashim et al. 2018). Additionally, the environmental sustainability of OP can be improved by stopping burning practices, reducing the use of peatland and swamp areas, and replacing fossil fuels by biofuel for plantation activities (Uning et al. 2020). Hence, to fulfil the growing global demand for PO while achieving conservation goals, voluntary certification under the international Roundtable Of Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) aims to ensure sustainability through a set of standards, accreditation, and process requirements (RSPO 2017; Abazue et al. 2015), encompassing optimization of productivity and efficiency while adhering to transparency, ethical, and legal principles, respecting communities, supporting smallholders, and protecting workers, while conserving the larger ecosystem.

While Malaysia is already a signatory of the RSPO network (Abazue et al. 2015), the Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO) certification scheme was established in 2015 to improve the PO governance and branding of Malaysian PO through nationwide sustainability initiatives, and transparency throughout the value chain (Pacheco et al. 2018).

Table 1 Summary of impact, mitigation strategies and recommendations related to palm oil production in Malaysia aligned with the SDGs

	Environment	Biodiversity	Health	Socioeconomic
Associated SDGs and their key words	<p>Deforestation, plantation emissions and waste management impact clean water (SDG6), and climate action (SDG13)</p> <p>SDG6: clean water, ecosystem protection, floods, pollution, rivers</p> <p>SDG13: climate resilience, CO₂ capture, ecosystems, emissions, greenhouse gas emissions, pollution, temperature</p>	<p>Deforestation and human-wildlife conflict compromise on diversity and abundance of native aquatic (SDG14) and terrestrial (SDG15) species</p> <p>SDG14: marine pollution, water resources and policy</p> <p>SDG15: land loss, land use and sustainability, poaching, protected species, species, terrestrial ecosystems, threatened species</p>	<p>Consumption of PO as a cheap, abundant, and phytonutrient-rich oil allows for alleviation of micronutrient deficiencies (SDG2) but may have adverse cardiovascular impact (SDG3)</p> <p>SDG2: food, improved nutrition, nutritional needs</p> <p>SDG3: diseases, health</p>	<p>Diverse impact on human quality of life (SDG10), livelihood (SDG1, SDG8), and industrial (SDG9), alternative energy (SDG7), and national growth and development (SDG12)</p> <p>SDG1: poverty, poverty eradication, resources</p> <p>SDG7: renewable energy</p> <p>SDG8: forced labor, GDP growth, global trade, innovation, job creation, migrant workers, modern slavery, poverty eradication, social policies, sustainable economic growth</p> <p>SDG9: economic development, environmentally sound technologies, industrial diversification, Value chains and markets</p> <p>SDG12: natural resources, reduce waste generation, resource efficiency</p> <p>As a high-yield industry, PO is a key national economic resource, attracting foreign direct investments, employing locals and foreign workers, stimulating biofuel industry, alleviating rural poverty, and contributing to domestic and regional food security (Shevade and Loboda 2019; DOSM 2020; MPOB 2018)</p>
Benefits	<p>N₂O emissions are lower than in primary forests (Yashiro et al. 2007)</p> <p>OP plantations absorb CO₂ and produce around 18 t O ha⁻¹ pa⁻¹ (Uning et al. 2020). CO₂ uptake above OP canopy on average higher than from intact forests (Fowler et al. 2011; Sharvini et al. 2020)</p>		<p>High amounts of phytonutrients (e.g., tocotrienols) in red PO flags potential role in ecological supplement and fortification programs (Jegade et al. 2015; Pignitter et al. 2016; Loganathan et al. 2017; Dong et al. 2017; Selby-Pham et al. 2020)</p> <p>Oxidative stability makes PO a safer alternative for long-term storage, repeated use, and high heat cooking (Boateng et al. 2016; Magri et al. 2015)</p>	

Table 1 (continued)

	Environment	Biodiversity	Health	Socioeconomic
Adverse effects	<p>Conversion of forest into OP plantations were associated with: Increased GHG emissions, reduced carbon stocks (Kusin et al. 2017; Choo et al. 2011; Hashim et al. 2018; Page et al. 2011; Matysek et al. 2018); highly reduced denitrification and enhanced N emissions due to fertilizers, plant combustion and vehicle exhausts (Hamilton et al. 2016; Drewer et al. 2020; Uning et al. 2020); increased flooding risk and sedimentation (Nainar et al. 2018; Saadatkah et al. 2016); and microclimate and climate changes (Hardwick et al. 2015; Nurhidayu and Hakeem 2017)</p> <p>Peat swamp forests are much more prone to fire than any other forest type (Page and Hooijer 2016; Page et al. 2009) and plantation peatland fire-led haze increases air pollution-related premature mortality at 648 cases per year (26 mortality cases per 100,000 population) due to chronic respiratory and cardiovascular diseases and lung cancer (Uda et al. 2019; Crippa et al. 2016)</p>	<p>Expansion of OP plantations into natural wildlife habitats threatens biodiversity and can result in reduced species abundance, local extinctions, or invasive species (Koh and Wilcove 2008; Brühl and Eltz 2010; Azman et al. 2011; Vijay et al. 2016)</p> <p>Forest fragmentation leads to human wildlife conflicts, poaching, and illegal wildlife trade (Liu et al. 2011; Azhar et al. 2013; Evans et al. 2020)</p>	<p>Saturated fat content of PO increases risk of CVD when consumed excessively (Yajima et al. 2018; Ismail et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2015)</p> <p>Risk of contaminants higher, especially in non-branded oils due to poorly regulated manufacturing processes (Aung et al. 2018; Di Genova et al. 2018)</p>	<p>Social conflicts arising from some practices in the PO industry were linked to “modern slavery” of migrant workers (withholding salaries and passports), land right issues affecting rural and indigenous communities (Wan Daud et al. 2020), deforestation and pollution, which threaten quality of life of local communities</p> <p>Differences in knowledge, technological adoption, and land ownership widens the gap between large companies and small growers and contributes to rising inequality among farmers and between communities (Rochmyaningsih 2015)</p>

Table 1 (continued)

	Environment	Biodiversity	Health	Socioeconomic
Mitigation opportunities	Riparian buffer establishment to mitigate emissions and microclimate changes (Chelliah and Yule 2018; Luke et al. 2017; Mitchell et al. 2018) Waste conversion of POME residues (Rupani et al. 2010) into biofertilizers (Truckell et al. 2019), PO mills waste into biobutanol and other (Rubinsin et al. 2020; Szulczyk and Cheema 2020). Use of OP shell in cement as an aggregate agent (Hamada et al. 2020) Intercropping to reduce soil erosion, spread of plant diseases and reliance on herbicides, while allowing smallholders to generate supplementary income (Ahmed et al. 2001; Hanafi et al. 2009; Ibrahim et al. 2020a, b; van Leeuwen 2019; Woittiez et al. 2017; Samedani et al. 2015) Use of biological pest control agents to decrease pesticide use and enhance biodiversity in plantations (Salim et al. 2014; Holzner et al. 2019)	Adaptation of some animal species to OP plantations creates opportunities for in situ conservation as opposed to species translocation (Azman et al. 2011) Wildlife corridors & habitat reconnection/reforestation may reduce human-wildlife conflicts and re-establish genetic flow across fragmented forest patches (Faruk et al. 2013; Bernard et al. 2014; Holzner et al. 2019) Education opportunities for habitat protection and enforcement against illegal encroachment, poaching, and wildlife trade (Meijaard et al. 2018) Certification and international agreements such as RSPO, MSPO, Aichi Targets and International Biology Convention may facilitate engagement across multi-stakeholders and stewards (RSPO 2017; Abazue et al. 2015; CBD 2011)	Encouraging moderation and overall healthy diet, instead of focusing only on PO consumption, is more prudent and likely to have a more significant impact on health outcomes (Odia 2015; Di Genova et al. 2018; Mancini et al. 2015; Marangoni et al. 2017; Jensen et al. 2019; Bronsky et al. 2019)	Effective implementation of sustainability certification may ensure protection of worker welfare and level the playing field between small growers and large-scale plantation companies through promotion of technological transfer and best practices (Nesadurai 2013) Addressing issues of inequity and fluctuating prices through government/mills) and certification promote best practices for small growers (Kumaran 2019)
Challenges	Difficulty in harmonizing different and/or competing priorities of stakeholders and jurisdictions of various stewards related to different aspects of OP plantation establishment and management Role of regulation and policies to address transboundary environmental issues (e.g., haze)	Limited funding/lack of political will Extinction cascades/accelerated species loss by multiple factors and climate change	Available data in humans remain inconsistent and equivocal, in part due to widespread presence of PO, and difficulty to tease out independent impact of PO (Sun et al. 2015; Ismail et al. 2018) Seeming publication bias due to source of funding (i.e., industry vs. independent studies) (Sun et al. 2015)	Ensuring transparency of sustainability certification, complex land laws, limited awareness of rights and access to resources for the most vulnerable stakeholders in the PO industry (Nesadurai 2013; Kumaran 2019)

Table 1 (continued)

	Environment	Biodiversity	Health	Socioeconomic
Knowledge gaps and key questions	<p>Gaps in important information at many levels, with available data often limited to specific questions that do not address ecosystem function holistically (Gaveau et al. 2016)</p> <p>Lack of (international) standard framework for conducting LUC assessments and long-term (predictive) modelling of habitat conversion and its impacts on the environment and climate</p>	<p>Literature is widely limited to issues in Indonesia, and most discussions revolve around OP as the main cause of deforestation, but few studies highlight other agricultural activities (e.g., Meijaard et al. 2020) as driver of biodiversity loss or propose feasible mitigation efforts, especially applicable large-scale diversification schemes of existing plantations</p>	<p>Gaps in knowledge related to what is a moderate and safe amount of long-term PO consumption in different groups of people, differences in health impact depending on PO use in diet (cooking oil vs. ingredient)</p> <p>Additionally, the population level health impact of consuming PO, as regular part of diet compared to other oils remains unclear</p>	<p>Not clear how much sustainable certification is actually improving worker welfare, small grower productivity and income; issue with data availability</p> <p>Understanding of main benefits, concerns, and challenges of small growers and vulnerable communities around PO remains limited</p>
Possible recommendations	<p>Developing a standard framework for assessing impact of LUC (especially of peat lands) and identifying feasible management practices</p> <p>Improving communication between stakeholders and awareness on sustainable practices</p> <p>More targeted and comprehensive environmental and bioeconomic impact studies on sustainable vs. conventional PO production to assess the benefits of certification for the environment and local economy, especially for smallholders</p>	<p>Adopt and facilitate national policies on establishing and managing wildlife corridors, habitat protection and diversification of monocultural landscapes</p> <p>Increase funding (national budget) strategies that protect wildlife, habitats and mitigate human-wildlife conflicts</p> <p>Increase education and awareness on the importance of biodiversity and nature conservation in the public and stakeholders of the PO industry</p>	<p>Conduct independently funded multi-site, long-term, community or randomized trials that compare impact of PO vs. other oils as part of a larger diet in real-world setting</p> <p>Broader education on importance of overall balanced and nutritious diet based on standard recommendations, as opposed to overemphasis on single ingredient campaigns</p>	<p>Increased engagement and research to address gaps in equity as well as improving regulations to ensure vulnerable communities are protected</p>




Fig. 1 Proposed interaction plot of mitigation strategies and their impact on health and socioeconomics (y axis) and environment and biodiversity (x axis) SDGs. The plot suggests that several strategies may have co-benefits, and fewer strategies are either conflicting or trade-offs that detract from efforts to achieve SDGs as a whole. Co-benefit (bottom right): positive for both; one-sided benefit (bottom

left or top right): positive for either; or trade-off (top left): negative for both. Level of evidence indicated by color, i.e., green: good evidence; orange: moderate evidence; and yellow: limited evidence. Grey circles indicate potentially important elements that were unable to be directly addressed in the review

Overseen by the Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB) and specifically aimed at supporting small and mid-range PO producers who cannot afford RSPO certification, the MSPO scheme has been made mandatory for OP plantations, independent smallholdings, and PO processing facilities since December 2019 (Kumaran 2019). Similar to the sustainability agenda of the RSPO that demands NDPE policies and ‘no slash-and-burn practices’ for certified plantations (Padfield et al. 2016), MSPO comprises seven governing principles, with ‘Principle 5: Environment, natural resources, biodiversity and ecosystem services’ setting the standards for mitigating impacts of OP agriculture on the biosphere.

Approximately 30% of the OP cultivated area globally is covered under voluntary or mandatory certification schemes (Kumaran 2019; RSPO 2017), and certain areas appear to be governed under multiple certification schemes (Barthel et al. 2018). Although, RSPO and MSPO ban establishment of

large plantations (> 100 ha) on peatlands, this has not been enforced on the ground and slash-and-burn practices reportedly still exist (Carlson et al. 2018). Despite certification, some areas have sustained deforestation rates with major loss of tree cover and forest fires reported, and in Malaysia, the largest certified plantations have less than 1% of residual forest on their estates (Carlson et al. 2018). These reports highlight the need for routine monitoring of forest cover loss in certified plantations and penalties for members who do not comply (Carlson et al. 2018), to ensure the credibility of such certification schemes.

Although debates on the effectiveness of certification systems to mitigate forest loss and fire remain (Carlson et al. 2018), RSPO certification may reduce illegal deforestation outside of certified supply bases and act as a crucial tool to address negative impacts of PO (Heilmayr et al. 2020). However, more information is needed to determine the effect of

certification on OP producers in broader areas, specifically ecological feedbacks and market forces that may improve the effect of certification. Edwards et al. (2010) proposed that funds obtained from certification of existing plantations be channeled into efforts such as biobanking and land sparing schemes that protect wildlife and forest land inside and outside of plantations. Ultimately, strict implementation of guidelines for best practices by governments and regulatory bodies, commitments to zero deforestation from all producer countries, open access of available datasets on global crop production, distribution, coverage, land cover change, and forest loss, tracking of milestones of international agreements, and legal enforcement of best practices embedded in national laws are needed to improve sustainability of PO production practices (Edwards et al. 2011).

Plantation expansion cap and high-conservation value policies

Malaysia recently committed to capping area expansion for OP plantations at 6.5 Mio ha until 2023 (Tan 2019), aiming instead to boost yield (De Pinto et al. 2017) and diversification to reduce dependency on land expansion (MPOB 2018). Besides curbing plantation expansion, high conservation value (HCV) approaches exist (often under certification schemes) to protect biological, ecological, social, or cultural values of outstanding significance, amounting to six HCV categories (HCV Resource Network 2021). HCV areas are identified at a plantation, farm or management unit level through an HCV assessment (Senior et al. 2015; Fleiss et al. 2020), which are then managed and monitored by land developers and other stakeholders. Assessment reports are publicly available and details HCVs present in specific areas as well as the evaluation results (HCV Resource Network 2021). For example, HCV detailed assessment reports for government plantations in Terengganu (Crawshaw 2019) and Sarawak (Sózer 2016) describe at length the state of identified HCVs, such as specific mapped areas and the vegetation and wildlife species present, threats to different HCVs, and recommendations to manage the threats. While HCVs have yet to show concrete mitigatory impact in Malaysia, they may prove to be effective regulatory tools in the long term if there is commitment for transparent implementation and monitoring. Currently, although HCV assessments have been found to be beneficial, lack of monitoring indicators for plantations managers was identified as a barrier to efficient HCV implementation (Pillai 2020). Encouragingly, the Malaysian Palm Oil Green Conservation Fund (MPOGC) was incorporated on 19 February 2020 to support conservation projects such as the planting of 1 million forest trees in Lahad Datu, Sabah and the Orangutan Population Census and Pygmy Elephants program, a collaboration between the

Malaysian Palm Oil Council (MPOC) and the Sabah State Government (Azian et al. 2020), which leverages on data highlighting the need to support these species in fragmented areas (Simon et al. 2019).

Biodiversity-friendly plantation management (SDG6, 13–15)

Forest corridors and riparian buffer zones

Best practice policies and the aim to achieve “biodiversity-friendly” conditions in plantations were among the top questions identified for biodiversity research (Coleman et al. 2019). Creating wildlife corridors by reserving forest patches in and around OP plantations, reforestation of underproductive OP plantation areas, and creation of forest buffer zones along rivers are necessary mitigation measures to enhance fitness of transient and vulnerable wildlife populations in OP landscapes (Wilting et al. 2012; Faruk et al. 2013; Bernard et al. 2014; Hearn et al. 2016a, b, c, 2018; Yamada et al. 2016; Holzner et al. 2019).

Riparian forests near water sources are recognized as important buffers to reduce water contamination from plantations and stabilize riverbanks (Gray et al. 2015; Luke et al. 2019), and to improve hydrology, biodiversity, ecosystem services and landscape connectivity (Marczak et al. 2010). Riparian reserve soils in Sabah release constant low rates of N₂O and NO independently of soil moisture under controlled conditions in contrast to OP plantations and logged forests (Drewer et al. 2020). Streams adjacent to OP plantations with a riparian buffer in Sabah were more shaded with cooler temperature and higher quantity of leaf litter (Chellaiah and Yule 2018; Luke et al. 2017). Carbon stocks in buffers surrounded by OP plantations were similar to intact riparian areas but were highly variable depending on the survey area (Mitchell et al. 2018; Fleiss et al. 2020). Anuran diversity in plantations was enhanced by proper biodiversity management strategies such as maintenance of stream complexity, riparian buffers, and reduced use of mechanical dredging (Faruk et al. 2013), whereby the presence of buffers or patches of forest does not impact OP yield (Edwards et al. 2014).

Additionally, Bornean orangutans show behavioral flexibility and nesting behavior in certified plantation areas with available forest patches (Ancrenaz et al. 2018; Santika et al. 2019). Orangutans of all sex-age groups were found in OP plantations in Kinabatangan as these plantations constitute a source of food and shelter to build nests, as well as travel corridors (Ancrenaz et al. 2015; Sherman et al. 2020). To ensure survival of orangutans, protection of certain tree and canopy attributes of remaining forest patches is crucial due to their large size, far travel distance, and other minimal ecological requirements of these great apes (Davies et al.

2017). Conversely, tree height and canopy cover showed no significant effects for making plantations more hospitable for other (small terrestrial) mammals, but additional land sparing strategies were suggested to tackle space and resource constraints (Yue et al. 2015). Bearded pigs along the Lower Wildlife Kinabatangan Sanctuary, Sabah regularly use OP plantations as habitat although secondary forest fragments are used for a wider range of behaviors such as nesting and wallowing (Love et al. 2018). Hence, although many species can adapt to plantations, forest patches are still important for them to flourish.

Multi-/inter-cropping and soil cover crops

Intercropping of OP plantations with other crops is practiced widely in Malaysia (Corley and Tinker 2016; van Leeuwen 2019), often by smallholders to generate income in the first years of planting before the palm trees produce fruits (Ahmed et al. 2001; Hanafi et al. 2009), and if performed on peat soil, intercropping has positive effects such as protecting the soil from erosion and improving its quality. Intercropping reduces harmful pathogens, such as fungi (Woittiez et al. 2017) that spread from old to new plantations (van Leeuwen 2019) but more studies are needed to confirm the benefits of using intercropping to improve crop disease prevention, productivity, and soil quality (van Leeuwen 2019). Conversely, excessive use of fertilizers and burning of pineapple residues used for intercropping in Johor have negative impacts, but this approach could be improved by removing pineapple waste by hand (van Leeuwen 2019) and valorizing the waste as compost, feed for animals, biogas, or others (Hepton 2003; Seguí and Maupoey 2018). In Pahang, alley cropping in OP plantations facilitated habitat complexity and significantly higher arthropod beta-diversity compared to other traditional monoculture systems (Ashraf et al. 2018). Generally, arthropod orders, but not abundance or composition, were found significantly higher in polyculture than monoculture smallholdings (Ghazali et al. 2016). Planting cover crops such as legumes that form dense low-growing mats also reduces the need to use herbicides to control undergrowth (Samedani et al. 2015).

Modelling studies suggest that intercropping of OP with other crops, in particular cacao, provided high land sparing effects, while also replenishing more ground water and reducing carbon footprint (Migeon 2018; Stomph 2017; Khasanah et al. 2020). Additionally, the implementations of bio farms in Malaysia have been shown to reduce soil nutrients depletion and reduction in chemical use (Howes and Fletcher 2020). This production system improves on standard practices by extending the crop cycle, building soil organic carbon, alternative replanting methods, and minimizing soil loss (Howes and Fletcher 2020).

Biological pest control

Biological pest control agents that prey on rats such as barn owls (Salim et al. 2014; Puan et al. 2011; Saufi et al. 2020), macaques (Holzner et al. 2019), leopard cats (Silmi et al. 2013; Rajaratnam et al. 2007), or snakes and monitor lizards (Lim 1999) may decrease pesticide use and enhance biodiversity in plantations. On top of barn owls that are introduced, high density of other naturally occurring nocturnal bird species recorded in OP smallholdings also posits the potential for these carnivores to act as biological pest controls (Yahya et al. 2020). Ground and epiphytic ferns growing at OP trees constitute nesting sites for insectivorous birds (Koh 2008; Desmier de Chenon and Susanto 2005) that further act as insect pest control (Koh 2008). However, despite their adaptability to the plantations, intact forest patches adjacent to OP plantations remain necessary habitats for the biological pest control agents to rest and breed (Ruppert et al. 2018; Holzner et al. 2019).

Enhanced downstream processing (SDG1, 6–9, 13)

Output diversification

Growing a local palm-based oleochemical industry and moving the local industries up in the commodity value chain, with products spanning from base oleo like fatty acids to end products like polymer and cosmetic products (Salimon et al. 2012), has the potential to further increase the profit margin (Tong 2017), which removes reliance on further plantation expansion. Globally, about 44% of the global vegetable oil (19% from PO and palm kernel oil) was consumed in the chemical industry, with a relatively small amount devoted to biofuel production (Goh 2016). Major oleochemicals produced include fatty acids, fatty alcohols, methyl esters, glycerin, and soap noodles, with prospective markets including highly priced specialty oleochemicals like amino acid esters, and β -carotene that have important applications in the cosmetic, pharmaceutical and food industries (Mba et al. 2015). These specialty oleochemicals are also considered better substitutes for fossil-based chemicals due to their bio-based nature (Basri et al. 2013).

Additionally, there is growing interest in Europe, Japan, and Korea to import OP biomass to substitute fossil fuels for power generation (specifically as an alternative to coal for power generation and district heating in Japan and Korea) (Goh et al. 2019), second generation liquid biofuels, packaging materials as well as drop-in and novel chemicals (Sheldon 2014; Mai-Moulin et al. 2019). Furthermore, these biomass streams can be potentially converted to building blocks (e.g., sugars) for high-value chemicals or substitutes for fossil materials (e.g., bioplastics) (Zahari et al. 2015). Two state-specific strategies were rolled out for Sabah and

Sarawak, under Malaysia's National Biomass Strategy 2020 to develop domestic high value-added biomass-based industries, through valorizing the agricultural residues in combination with municipal solid waste (AIM 2013). The plan was kickstarted with promoting energy pellet production for both local consumption and export, motivated by the Feed-in-Tariff schemes for bioenergy in both Malaysia and overseas markets (Garcia-Nunez et al. 2016).

Waste management and recovery

Waste management practices in plantations impact the air, water, and soil quality of the environment (Gaveau et al. 2014; Truckell et al. 2019). Residues produced in OP mills in Malaysia are around 100 Mio t year⁻¹ (MPOB 2018). Two important residues are the liquid PO mill effluent (POME) and an abundant amount of low value bio-resources in the form of agricultural and forestry residues, such as empty fruit bunches (EFB) and palm kernel shell (PKS) (Truckell et al. 2019). POME disposed from PO mills contains high chemical oxygen demand and biological oxygen demand, thus, it is contained in ponds near to the mills to avoid contamination of water resources (DOE 1974, 1994; MOE 1979). Untreated POME can severely pollute water resources and release large quantities of methane, a major GHG, i.e., 1 t of POME residue can emit 33 kg of methane equivalent to 750 kg of CO₂ (Rupani et al. 2010). However, treated residues composted and neutralized together with EFBs can be used as biofertilizer, whereby they can be returned to the soil to replenish carbon and nutrients through mulching to enhance soil quality in plantations (Truckell et al. 2019; Tao et al. 2017).

Discussion

Increasing recognition of the drawbacks to the rapid expansion of OP as an agricultural sector has led to efforts towards more sustainable practices to mitigate the adverse manifestations of OP industry such as deforestation and human–wildlife conflicts, human rights issues, pollution, and degradation of environmental quality (Tang and Al-Qahtani 2020).

However, perceptions surrounding PO and the OP industry are highly polarized, likely arising from the overemphasis on the negative impacts of irresponsible OP cultivation practices, an anti-PO stance from European and US governing bodies (Choiruzzad 2019; Wahab 2018), and consequently retaliatory stances from PO-producing nations (Liu et al. 2020). Even the literature appears polarized and at times directly conflicting, in particular with regards to nutritional impact of PO consumption on health and the impact of plantation management practices and certification schemes on improving sustainability.

In terms of health, a key limitation in understanding the impact of PO consumption is the fact that most studies are focused on PO as a single oil, or even if compared with other oils, they are rarely designed to observe intake as part of a wider diet. This tends to inflate both negative and positive impacts of consuming PO or its derivatives, depending on study methodology and any underlying biases (Ismail et al. 2018; Di Genova et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2015).

Conflicting views about OP agriculture and impact of sustainable management practices on the environment are often due to missing but important information at various levels making it hard for various stakeholders to understand the complex situation (Gaveau et al. 2016). There is paucity of data on awareness, adoption, and impact of sustainable practices among smallholders and, in particular, indigenous smallholders in Malaysia. Studies of land conversion of peatlands into OP plantations (Edwards et al. 2010; Gunarso et al. 2013) are through estimations or projections that often do not use the same time scale which makes them difficult to compare, highlighting the need for a universal methodological framework for more concerted monitoring of rate of conversion of peatland. Additionally, the literature and most discussions about OP agriculture are skewed towards the Indonesian context and only few studies propose mitigation efforts, especially feasible diversification of existing or rehabilitation schemes of abandoned plantations.

Existing studies aimed at enhancing sustainable practices are widely lacking in depth, long-term data, or demonstrable economic competitiveness, and thus are largely unable to provide applicable and scalable solutions. For example, while Begum et al. (2019) found that millers in Malaysia use efficient and environmentally friendly practices in waste disposal, more research is needed to understand how these practices can be further developed and adopted on a wider scale. Furthermore, the long-term impacts of diverting biomasses for industrial diversification are unclear, and due to logistic constraints, unclear business models, market uncertainties, and fluctuating CPO prices, large-scale mobilization of OP residues and POME treatment systems in rural areas has only been partially realized in the past few years. While data from specific localized studies on reducing forest fragmentation and human–wildlife conflict appear encouraging, the evidence on the broader impact of sustainable certification on improving worker conditions, smallholders and environmental conservation is still being accrued, with effective implementation and transparency being the underlying determinant.

In general, more detailed environmental and bioeconomic impact studies of sustainable vs. conventional PO production are needed to assess the benefits of (certified) sustainable management for the environment and local economy, especially for smallholders. The use of life cycle assessments may better estimate the impact of human activities on the

environment, specifically for a commodity chain such as OP (Hashim et al. 2018). As many impacts of PO production on the environment are difficult to quantify, more comprehensive datasets are highly needed to develop better practices for sustainability in the long term (Hashim et al. 2018).

Many studies focus on the impact of OP as a single crop, without comparing these impacts against those arising from other existing and potential crops, which prevents a more contextualized impact assessment. For instance, coconut cultivation practices are less discussed as a driver of biodiversity loss, despite contributing to species loss in many tropical countries (Meijaard et al. 2020). Tackling biodiversity loss in plantation landscapes necessitates a deeper understanding about both positive and negative impacts of OP plantations on different species to develop solutions for environmental, wildlife and human welfare issues. In particular, there is an absence of evidence for mitigating roles of education/awareness, legal enforcement, and general reduced consumption.

Optimistically, the identified key mitigation strategies appear to possess potential co-benefits in advancing efforts for multiple SDG-related goals, with fewer strategies that either are conflicting or trade-offs that detract from efforts to achieve SDGs (Fig. 1). However, the effectiveness and longer-term impact of some mitigation efforts remain unknown.

Conclusion

In many ways, the prevailing conflicting evidence, garnered from single perspectives on this complex issue, further propagates views that are one sided. The different impacts of PO on different aspects of human and planetary health, and the corresponding SDGs, tend to be discussed separately, as are several of the solutions and mitigations efforts proposed. This situation then continues to neglect the complicated aspect of OP agriculture and agriculture in general, which consequently undermines the positive and often necessary socio-economic benefits of these industries to producer countries and the local communities (Gaveau et al. 2016). Moving forward, the varying impacts of PO on sustainability goals must be assessed with the intention to capitalize on the positive interactions and mitigate conflict of goals arising from negative interactions (Nilsson et al. 2018). Improving practices within and surrounding plantations through strategies that combine economic incentives with mitigation of adverse environmental impact may enable farmers and plantations to be part of more scalable holistic sustainable solutions.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-021-01052-4>.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Ethan Pang Yi Heng and Muzzalifah Abdul Hamid for their assistance in conducting the literature search, and Celine Ng for assistance in illustration.

Funding This study was supported by Universiti Sains Malaysia Research University Grant 1001.PBIOLOGI.8016081 and Ministry of Education Malaysia Translational Research Program Ref. No. JPT.S (BPKI) 2000/016/018/017 Jld.3(46). We also gratefully acknowledge the contribution to this work of the Victorian Operational Infrastructure Support Program received by the Burnet Institute.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no conflict of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- Abazue CM, Er AC, Alam ASAF, Begum H (2015) Oil palm small-holders and its sustainability practices in Malaysia. *Mediterr J Soc Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n6s4p482>
- Abidin MZK, Mohammed AF, Nor MS (2018) Home-range and activity pattern of rehabilitated Malayan sun bears (*Helarctos malayanus*) in the Tembat Forest Reserve, Terengganu. *AIP Conf Proc* 1940(1):20036
- Aguilar-León JM (2020) Understanding Anuran responses to rainforest fragmentation and oil palm agriculture in the Lower Kinabatangan Wildlife Sanctuary, Sabah. Doctoral dissertation, Cardiff University
- Ahmad Tarmizi A (2008) Felda—a success story. *Glob Oils Fats Malays Palm Oil Council* 5(1):6–11
- Ahmed H, Husni MHA, Anuar AR, Hanafi MM (2001) Some observations in pineapple production under different fertilizer programmes and different pineapple residue management practices. *Pertanika J Trop Agric Sci* 24(2):115–121
- AIM (2013) Agensi Inovasi Malaysia. National Biomass Strategy 2020: New Wealth Creation for Malaysia's Palm Oil Industry. <https://www.nbs2020.gov.my/nbs2020-v20-2013>. Accessed 15 Mar 2021
- Alam M, Siwar C, Molla R, Toriman M, Talib B (2010) Socioeconomic impacts of climatic change on paddy cultivation: an empirical investigation in Malaysia. *J Knowl Glob* 3(2):71–84
- Amin MZM, Shaaban AJ, Ohara N, Kavvas ML, Chen ZQ et al (2016) Climate change assessment of water resources in Sabah and Sarawak, Malaysia, based on dynamically-downscaled GCM projections using a regional hydroclimate model. *J Hydrol Eng* 21(1):1–9
- Ancrenaz M, Barton C, Riger P, Wich S (2018) Building relationships: How zoos and other partners can contribute to the conservation of wild orangutans *Pongo* spp. *Int Zoo Yearb* 52(1):164–172
- Ancrenaz M, Oram F, Ambu L, Lackman I, Ahmad E et al (2015) Of Pongo, palms and perceptions: a multidisciplinary assessment of Bornean orangutans *Pongo pygmaeus* in an oil palm context. *Oryx* 49(3):465–472
- Arif S, Tengku Mohd Ariff TA (2001) The case study on the Malaysian palm oil. In: UNCTAD/ESCAP regional workshop on commodity export diversification and poverty reduction in South and South-East Asia. Bangkok.

- Asher C (2019) Brazil soy trade linked to widespread deforestation, carbon emissions. In: Mongabay. <https://www.news.mongabay.com/2019/04/brazil-soy-trade-linked-to-widespread-deforestation-carbon-emissions/>. Accessed 15 Mar 2021
- Ashraf M, Zulkifli R, Sanusi R, Tohiran KA, Terhem R et al (2018) Alley-cropping system can boost arthropod biodiversity and ecosystem functions in oil palm plantations. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 260:19–26
- Asimopoulos S (2016) Human–wildlife conflict mitigation in peninsular Malaysia. <http://www.stud.epsilon.slu.se/9293/>. Accessed 15 Mar 2021
- Asmit B, Koesrindartoto DP (2018) Identifying the entrepreneurship characteristics of the oil palm community plantation farmers in the Riau Area. *Gadjah Mada Int J Bus* 17(3):219–236
- Aung WP, Bjertness E, Htet AS, Stigum S, Chongsuvivatwong V et al (2018) Fatty acid profiles of various vegetable oils and the association between the use of palm oil vs. peanut oil and risk factors for non-communicable diseases in Yangon Region, Myanmar. *Nutrients* 10(9):1–14
- Austin KG, Kasibhatla PS, Urban DL, Stolle F, Vincent J (2015) Reconciling oil palm expansion and climate change mitigation in Kalimantan, Indonesia. *PLoS ONE* 10(5):1–17
- Awang Ali BDN, Kunjappan R, Chin M, Schoneveld G, Potter L, et al. (2011) The local impacts of oil palm expansion in Malaysia: an assessment based on a case study in Sabah State. CIFOR working paper 78. http://www.cifor.org/publications/pdf_files/Wpapers/WP-78Andriani.pdf. Accessed 14 Mar 2021
- Azhar B, Lindenmayer D, Wood J, Fischer J, Manning A et al (2013) Contribution of illegal hunting, culling of pest species, road accidents and feral dogs to biodiversity loss in established oil-palm landscapes. *Wildl Res* 40(1):1–9
- Azhar A, Osman LH, Omar ARC, Rahman MR, Ishak S (2020) Contributions and challenges of palm oil to smallholders in Malaysia. *Int J Sci Res* 9(6):267–273
- Azian A, Kumar KS, Batumalai T (2020) Impact of Covid-19 on MPO industry In 2020—a review. <https://www.mpec.org.my/impact-of-covid-19-on-mpo-industry-in-2020-a-review/>. Accessed 24 July 2021
- Azman NM, Abdul Latip NS, Mohd Sah SA, Md Akil MAM, Shafie NJ et al (2011) Avian diversity and feeding guilds in a secondary forest, an oil palm plantation and a paddy field in riparian areas of the Kerian River Basin, Perak, Malaysia. *Trop Life Sci Res* 22(2):45–64
- Barlow C (1997) Growth, structural change and plantation tree crops: The case of rubber. *World Dev* 25(10):1589–1607
- Barthel M, Jennings S, Schreiber W, Sheane R, Royston S, et al. (2018) Study on the environmental impact of palm oil consumption and on existing sustainability standards. In: EU Publications. <https://www.op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/89c7f3d8-2bf3-11e8-b5fe-01aa75ed71a1>. Accessed 10 Mar 2021
- Basiron Y (2011) Global market scenario impact on palm oil and other vegetable oils. In: PIPOC 2011 international palm oil congress: palm oil fortifying the world. Kuala Lumpur
- Basri M, Abd Raman RNZR, Salleh AB (2013) Specialty oleochemicals from palm oil via enzymatic syntheses. *J Oil Palm Res* 25(1):22
- Begum H, Alam ASAF, Er AC, Abdul Ghani AB (2019) Environmental sustainability practices among palm oil millers. *Clean Technol Environ Pol* 21(10):1979–1991
- Begum RA, Raihan A, Said MNM (2020) Dynamic impacts of economic growth and forested area on carbon dioxide emissions in Malaysia. *Sustainability* 12:9375. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su1229375>
- Bernard H, Bili R, Wearn OR, Hanya G, Ahmad AH (2014) The distribution and persistence of primate species in disturbed and converted forest landscapes in Sabah, Malaysia: preliminary results. *Annu Rep pro Natura Fund* 22:1–9
- Beyer RM, Durán AP, Rademacher TT, Martin P, Tayleur C et al (2020) The environmental impacts of palm oil and its alternatives. *Biorxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.16.951301>
- Bianchi AE, Da Silva AS, Biazus AH, Richards NSPS, Pellegrini LG et al (2017) Adding palm oil to the diet of sheep alters fatty acids profile on yogurt: benefits to consumers. *An Acad Bras De Cienc* 89(3):2471–2478
- Boateng L, Ansong R, Owusu WB, Steiner-Asiedu M (2016) Coconut oil and palm oil's role in nutrition, health and national development: a review. *Ghana Med J* 50(3):189–196
- Bou Dib J, Krishna VV, Alamsyah Z, Qaim M (2018) Land-use change and livelihoods of non-farm households: The role of income from employment in oil palm and rubber in rural Indonesia. *Land Use Policy* 76:828–838
- Brandi C, Cabani T, Hosang C, Schirmbeck S, Westermann L et al (2015) Sustainability standards for palm oil: challenges for smallholder certification under the RSPO. *J Environ Dev* 24(3):292–314
- Brandon-Mong GJ, Littlefair JE, Sing KW, Lee YP, Gan HM et al (2018) Temporal changes in arthropod activity in tropical anthropogenic forests. *Bull Entomol Res* 108(6):792–799
- Bronsky J, Campoy C, Embleton N, Fewtrell M, Fidler MN et al (2019) Palm oil and beta-palmitate in infant formula: a position paper by the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) Committee on Nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 68(5):742–760
- Brühl CA, Eltz T (2010) Fuelling the biodiversity crisis: species loss of ground-dwelling forest ants in oil palm plantations in Sabah, Malaysia (Borneo). *Biodivers Conserv* 19(2):519–529
- Buckland H (2005) The oil for ape scandal: how palm oil is threatening the Orangutan. In: Friends of the Earth, London. https://www.friendsoftheearth.uk/sites/default/files/downloads/oil_for_ape_full.pdf. Accessed 1 Mar 2021
- Butler R (2015) Palm oil major makes deforestation-free commitment. In: Mongabay. <https://www.news.mongabay.com/2015/02/palm-oil-major-makes-deforestation-free-commitment/>. Accessed 15 Mar 2021
- Carlson KM, Heilmayr R, Gibbs HK, Noojipady P, Burns DN et al (2018) Effect of oil palm sustainability certification on deforestation and fire in Indonesia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115(1):121–126
- Castiblanco C, Etter A, Ramirez A (2015) Impacts of oil palm expansion in Colombia: What do socioeconomic indicators show? *Land Use Policy* 44:31–43
- Cazzolla Gatti R, Velichevskaya A (2020) Certified “sustainable” palm oil took the place of endangered Bornean and Sumatran large mammals habitat and tropical forests in the last 30 years. *Sci Total Environ* 742:140712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140712>
- CBD (2011) Aichi biodiversity target. In: Convention on biological diversity. <https://www.cbd.int/sp/targets/>. Accessed 10 Mar 2021
- Chellaiah D, Yule CM (2018) Limnological effect of riparian management on stream morphometry and water quality in oil palm plantations in Borneo. *Limnologica* 69:72–80
- Choiruzzad SAB (2019) Save palm oil, save the nation: palm oil companies and the shaping of Indonesia's national interest. *Asian Polit Policy* 11(1):8–26
- Choo YM, Muhamad H, Hashim Z, Subramaniam V, Pua CW et al (2011) Determination of GHG contributions by subsystems in the oil palm supply chain using the LCA approach. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 16(7):669–681
- Coleman JL, Ascher JS, Bickford D, Buchori D, Cabanban A et al (2019) Top 100 research questions for biodiversity conservation in Southeast Asia. *Biol Conserv* 234:211–220

- Cooper HV, Evers S, Aplin P, Crout N, Dahalan MPB, Sjogersten S (2020) Greenhouse gas emissions resulting from conversion of peat swamp forest to oil palm plantation. *Nat Commun*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14298-w>
- Corley RHV, Tinker PB (2016) Oil palm and sustainability. In: Corley RHV, Tinker PB (eds) *The oil palm*. Wiley, Hoboken, pp 519–534
- Cramb RA, McCarthy JF (2016) *The oil palm complex: smallholders, agribusiness and the state in Indonesia and Malaysia*. NUS Press, Singapore
- Crawshaw J (2019) High conservation value full assessment public summary Ladang Rakyat Estate–Terengganu Collaboration between Ladang Rakyat Trengganu Sdn. Bhd., Bunge Loders Crocklaan and Cargill. <https://www.hcvrn.egnyte.com/dl/6MvH4PN2w0/>. Accessed 16 Mar 2021
- Crippa P, Castruccio S, Archer-Nicholls S et al (2016) Population exposure to hazardous air quality due to the 2015 fires in Equatorial Asia. *Sci Rep* 6:37074. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37074>
- Davies AB, Ancrenaz M, Oram F, Asner GP (2017) Canopy structure drives orangutan habitat selection in disturbed Bornean forests. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114(31):8307–8312
- De Pinto A, Wiebe K, Pacheco P (2017) Help bigger palm oil yields to save land. *Nature* 544:416. <https://doi.org/10.1038/544416d>
- Desmier de Chenon R, Susanto A (2005) Ecological observations on the diurnal birds in Indonesian oil palm plantations (inventory, feeding behaviour, impact on pests). In: *Proceedings of the international palm oil congress (PIPOC)*, pp 187–220.
- Di Genova L, Cerquiglini L, Penta L, Biscarini A, Esposito S (2018) Pediatric age palm oil consumption. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 15(4):651
- DOE (1974) Environmental quality act 1974 (Act 127) and subsidiary legislations. Department of Environment Malaysia. International Law Book Services, August 1997
- DOE (1994) Classification of Malaysian rivers. Final report on development of water quality criteria and standards for Malaysia (phase IV—river classification). Department of Environment Malaysia, Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment
- Dong S, Xia H, Wang F, Sun G (2017) The effect of red palm oil on vitamin A deficiency: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrients*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9121281>
- DOSM (2020). Selected Agricultural Indicators, Malaysia, 2020. In: Department of Statistics Malaysia. https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemByCat&cat=72&bul_id=RXVKU VJ5TiHm0cwYwXlOHcxU3dKdz09&menu_id=Z0VTZ GU1UHBUT1VJMFlpaXRRR0xpdz09. Accessed 20 Mar 2021
- DOSM (2021) Malaysia economic performance fourth quarter 2020. In: Department of Statistics Malaysia. https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemByCat&cat=100&bul_id=Y1MyV2tPOGNsVUtnRy9SZGdRQS84QT09&menu_id=TESCRUZCblh4ZTZMODZlBmk2aWRRQT09#. Accessed 20 Mar 2021
- Drewer J, Zhao J, Leduning MM, Levy PE, Sentian J et al (2020) Linking nitrous oxide and nitric oxide fluxes to microbial communities in tropical forest soils and oil palm plantations in Malaysia in laboratory incubations. *Front for Glob Change* 3:4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2020.00004>
- Dudgeon D, Arthington AH, Gessner MO, Kawabata ZI, Knowler DJ et al (2006) Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status, and conservation challenges. *Biol Rev Camb Phil Soc* 81:163–182
- Durani LW, Hamezah HS, Ibrahim NF, Yanagisawa D, Nasaruddin ML et al (2018) Tocotrienol-rich fraction of palm oil improves behavioral impairments and regulates metabolic pathways in AβPP/PS1 mice. *J Alzheimer's Dis* 64(1):249–267
- Edwards DP, Larsen TH, Docherty TDS, Ansell FA, Hsu WW et al (2011) Degraded lands worth protecting: the biological importance of Southeast Asia's repeatedly logged forests. *Proc R Soc B* 278(1702):82–90
- Edwards R, Mulligan D, Marell, L (2010) Indirect land use change from increased biofuels demand. In: European Commission Joint Research Centre Ispra, Italy. <https://doi.org/10.2788/54137>. Accessed 1 Mar 2021
- Edwards FA, Edwards DP, Sloan S, Hamer KC (2014) Sustainable management in crop monocultures: the impact of retaining forest on oil palm yield. *PLoS ONE* 9(3):e91695
- Evans LJ, Goossens B, Davies AB, Reynolds G, Asner GP (2020) Natural and anthropogenic drivers of Bornean elephant movement strategies. *Glob Ecol Conserv* 22:e00906
- Faruk A, Belabut D, Ahmad N, Knell RJ, Garner TWJ (2013) Effects of oil-palm plantations on diversity of tropical anurans. *Conserv Biol* 27(3):615–624
- Fayle TM, Turner EC, Snaddon JL, Khen V, Chung AYC et al (2010) Oil palm expansion into rain forest greatly reduces ant biodiversity in canopy, epiphytes and leaf-litter. *Basic Appl Ecol* 11:337–345
- Feintrenie L, Chong KW, Levang P (2010) Why do farmers prefer oil palm? Lessons learnt from Bungo District, Indonesia. *Small-Scale*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11842-010-9122-2>
- Fitzherbert E, Struebig M, Morel A, Danielsen F, Bruhl C et al (2008) How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? *Trends Ecol Evol*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.06.012>
- Fleiss S, Waddell EH, Bala Ola B, Banin LF, Benedick S et al (2020) Conservation set-asides improve carbon storage and support associated plant diversity in certified sustainable oil palm plantations. *Biol Conserv* 248:108631
- Fonseca LM, Domingues JP, Dima AM (2020) Mapping the sustainable development goals relationships. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12083359>
- Fowler D, Nemitz E, Misztal P, Di Marco C, Skiba U et al (2011) Effects of land use on surface–atmosphere exchanges of trace gases and energy in Borneo: comparing fluxes over oil palm plantations and a rainforest. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 366(1582):3196–3209
- Garcia-Nunez JA, Ramirez-Contreras NE, Rodriguez DT, Silva-Lora E, Frear CS et al (2016) Evolution of palm oil mills into bio-refineries: literature review on current and potential uses of residual biomass and effluents. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 110:99–114
- Gatto M, Wollni M, Asnawi R, Qaim M (2017) Oil palm boom, contract farming, and rural economic development: village-level evidence from Indonesia. *World Dev* 95:127–140
- Gaveau DLA, Sloan S, Molidena E, Yaen H, Sheil D et al (2014) Four decades of forest persistence, clearance and logging on Borneo. *PLoS ONE* 9(7):e101654
- Gaveau DLA, Sheil D, Husnayaen SMA, Arjasakusuma S et al (2016) Rapid conversions and avoided deforestation: examining four decades of industrial plantation expansion in Borneo. *Sci Rep* 6:1–13
- Ghazali A, Asmah S, Syafiq M, Yahya MS, Aziz N et al (2016) Effects of monoculture and polyculture farming in oil palm smallholdings on terrestrial arthropod diversity. *J Asia Pac Entomol* 19(2):415–421
- Giri S, Bhatia S (2020) Review on nutritional value and health benefits of palm oil. *Res Rev Drugs Drugs Dev* 2(2):9–11
- Goh CS (2016) Can we get rid of palm oil? *Trends Biotechnol* 34:948–950
- Goh CS, Aikawa T, Ahl A, Ito K, Kayo C et al (2019) Rethinking sustainable bioenergy development in Japan: decentralised system supported by local forestry biomass. *Sustain Sci* 15:1461–1471
- Gray CL, Lewis OT, Chung AYC, Fayle TM (2015) Riparian reserves within oil palm plantations conserve logged forest leaf litter ant

- communities and maintain associated scavenging rates. *J Appl Ecol* 52(1):31–40
- Gro Intelligence (2016) Palm oil: growth in Southeast Asia comes with a high price tag. <https://www.gro-intelligence.com/insights/articles/palm-oil-production-and-demand>. Accessed 15 Mar 2021
- Guharajan R, Arnold TW, Bolongon G, Dibden GH, Abram NK et al (2018) Survival strategies of a frugivore, the sun bear, in a forest-oil palm landscape. *Biodivers Conserv* 27(14):3657–3677
- Gunarso P, Hartoyo M, Agus F, Killeen T (2013) Oil palm and land use change in Indonesia, Malaysia and Papua New Guinea. Reports from the technical panels of the 2nd greenhouse gas working group of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/288658092_Oil_palm_and_land_use_change_in_Indonesia_Malaysia_and_Papua_New_Guinea. Accessed 15 Mar 2021
- Hamada HM, Thomas BS, Tayeh B, Yahaya FM, Muthusamy K et al (2020) Use of oil palm shell as an aggregate in cement concrete: a review. *Constr Build Mater* 265:120357
- Hamer KC, Hill JK, Benedick S, Mustafa N, Sherratt TN et al (2003) Ecology of butterflies in natural and selectively logged forests of Northern Borneo: the importance of habitat heterogeneity. *J Appl Ecol* 40(1):150–162
- Hamid H, Samah AA, Man N (2013) The level of perceptions toward agriculture land development programme among Orang Asli in Pahang, Malaysia. *Asian Soc Sci* 9(10):151–159
- Hamilton RL, Trimmer M, Bradley C, Pinay G (2016) Deforestation for oil palm alters the fundamental balance of the soil N cycle. *Soil Biol Biochem* 95:223–232
- Hanafi MM, Mohammed SM, Husni MHA, Adzemi MA (2009) Dry matter and nutrient partitioning of selected pineapple cultivars grown on mineral and tropical peat soils. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 40(21–22):3263–3280
- Hansen SB, Olsen SI, Ujang Z (2014) Carbon balance impacts of land use changes related to the life cycle of Malaysian palm oil-derived biodiesel. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 19(3):558–566
- Hardwick SR, Toumi R, Pfeifer M, Turner EC, Nilus R et al (2015) The relationship between leaf area index and microclimate in tropical forest and oil palm plantation: forest disturbance drives changes in microclimate. *Agric for Meteorol* 201:187–195
- Harris NL, Brown S, Hagen SC, Saatchi SS, Petrova S et al (2012) Baseline map of carbon emissions from deforestation in tropical regions. *Science* 336(6088):1573–1576
- Hashim Z, Subramaniam V, Harun MH, Kamarudin N (2018) Carbon footprint of oil palm planted on peat in Malaysia. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 23(6):1201–1217
- HCV Resource Network (2021) How it works. we protect what matters most. <https://www.hcvnetwork.org/how-it-works/>. Accessed 15 Mar 2021
- Hearn AJ, Ross J, Alfred R, Samejima H, Heydon M et al (2016a) Predicted distribution of the marbled cat *Pardofelis marmorata* (Mammalia: Carnivora: Felidae) on Borneo. *Raffles Bull Zool* 33:42–49
- Hearn AJ, Ross J, Macdonald DW, Bolongon G, Cheyne SM et al (2016b) Predicted distribution of the Sunda clouded *Leopard neofelis diardi* (Mammalia: Carnivora: Felidae) on Borneo. *Raffles Bull Zool* 33:149–156
- Hearn AJ, Ross J, Macdonald DW, Hamejima S, Heydon M et al (2016c) Predicted distribution of the bay cat *Catopuma badia* (Mammalia: Carnivora: Felidae) on Borneo. *Raffles Bull Zool* 33:165–172
- Hearn AJ, Cushman SA, Goossens B, Macdonald E, Ross J et al (2018) Evaluating scenarios of landscape change for Sunda clouded leopard connectivity in a human dominated landscape. *Biol Conserv* 222:232–240
- Hearn AJ, Ross J, Bernard H, Bakar SA, Goossens B et al (2019) Responses of Sunda clouded *Leopard neofelis diardi* population density to anthropogenic disturbance: refining estimates of its conservation status in Sabah. *Oryx* 53(4):643–653
- Heilmayr R, Carlson KM, Benedict JJ (2020) Deforestation spillovers from oil palm sustainability certification. *Environ Res Lett*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab7f0c>
- Hepton A (2003) Cultural system. In: Bartholomew DP, Paull RE, Rohrbach KG (eds) *The pineapple: botany, production and uses*. CABI Publishing, Honolulu, pp 109–142
- Hinkes C, Christoph-Schulz I (2020) No palm oil or certified sustainable palm oil? Heterogeneous consumer preferences and the role of information. *Sustainability* 12(18):7257. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12187257>
- Holzinger R, Sanhueza E, von Kuhlmann R, Kleiss B, Donoso L et al (2002) Diurnal cycles and seasonal variation of isoprene and its oxidation products in the tropical savanna atmosphere. *Glob Biogeochem Cycl* 16(4):1–13
- Holzner A, Ruppert N, Swat F, Schmidt M, Weiß BM et al (2019) Macaques can contribute to greener practices in oil palm plantations when used as biological pest control. *Curr Biol* 29(20):R1066–1067
- Howes J, Fletcher C (2020) Wild Asia Group Scheme (WAGS) BIO farms as a transitional strategy for oil palm: a case study of bio-interventions and the results from our demonstration plots in Perak, Malaysia. In: *Wild Asia*. <http://www.oilpalm.wildasia.org/small-producers/wags/>. Accessed 16 Mar 2021
- Hyde PN, Sapper TN, LaFountain RA et al (2021) Effects of palm Stearin versus butter in the context of low-carbohydrate/high-fat and high-carbohydrate/low-fat diets on circulating lipids in a controlled feeding study in healthy humans. *Nutrients* 13(6):1944. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13061944>
- Ibrahim MS, Seman IA, Rusli MH, Izzuddin MA, Kamarudin N et al (2020a) Surveillance of Ganoderma disease in oil palm planted by participants of the smallholders replanting incentive scheme in Malaysia. *J Oil Palm Res* 32(2):237–244
- Ibrahim NI, Fairus S, Mohamed IN (2020b) The effects and potential mechanism of oil palm phenolics in cardiovascular health: a review on current evidence. *Nutrients* 12(7):1–22
- Ismail A (2013) The effect of labour shortage in the supply and demand of palm oil in Malaysia. *Oil Palm Ind Econ J* 13(2):15–26
- Ismail SR, Maarof SK, Ali SS, Ali A (2018) Systematic review of palm oil consumption and the risk of cardiovascular disease. *PLoS ONE* 13(2):1–16
- Janssens S, Heemskerk MM, Van Den Berg SA, Van Riel NA, Nicolay K et al (2015) Effects of low-stearate palm oil and high-stearate lard high-fat diets on rat liver lipid metabolism and glucose tolerance. *Nutr Metab* 12(1):1–11
- Jegede AI, Offor U, Azu OO, Akinloye O (2015) Red palm oil attenuates lead acetate induced testicular damage in adult male Sprague–Dawley rats. *Evid Based Complement Altern Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/130261>
- Jensen HT, Keogh-Brown MR, Shankar B, Aekplakorn W, Basu S et al (2019) Palm oil and dietary change: application of an integrated macroeconomic, environmental, demographic, and health modelling framework for Thailand. *Food Policy* 83:92–103
- Jomo KS, Rock M (1998) Economic diversification and primary commodity processing in the second-tier South-East Asian newly industrializing countries. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/23694127_Economic_Diversification_And_Primary_Commodity_Processing_In_The_Second-Tier_South-East_Asian_Newly_Industrializing_Countries. Accessed 10 Oct 2021
- Kadandale S, Marten R, Smith R (2019) The palm oil industry and non-communicable diseases. *Bull World Health Organ* 97(2):118–128

- Kano Y, Hon J, Mohd Khairulazman S, Aizu M, Noshita K et al (2020) Stream fish biodiversity and the effects of plantations in the Bintulu Region, Sarawak. In: Ishikawa N, Soda R (eds) Anthropogenic tropical forests. Advances in Asian human–environmental research. Springer, Singapore, pp 235–274
- Kari FB, Masud MM, Yahaya SRB, Saifullah MK (2016) Poverty within watershed and environmentally protected areas: the case of the indigenous community in Peninsular Malaysia. *Environ Monit Assess* 188(3):1–14
- Karki M, Sellamuttu SS, Okayasu S, Suzuki W (2018) IPBES regional assessment report on ‘Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services for Asia and the Pacific.’ https://www.ipbes.net/system/tdf/spm_asia-pacific_2018_digital.pdf?file=1&type=node&id=28394. Accessed 17 Mar 2021
- Khasanah N, van Noordwijk M, Slingerland M, Sofiyudin M, Stomph D et al (2020) Oil palm agroforestry can achieve economic and environmental gains as indicated by multifunctional land equivalent ratios. *Front Sustain Food Syst* 3:122. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00122>
- Knicker H (2007) How does fire affect the nature and stability of soil organic nitrogen and carbon? A Review. *Biogeochemistry* 85(1):91–118
- Koh LP (2008) Birds defend oil palms from herbivorous insects. *Ecol Appl* 18(4):821–825
- Koh LP, Wilcove DS (2008) Is oil palm agriculture really destroying tropical biodiversity? *Conserv Lett* 1(2):60–64
- Koh LP, Miettinen J, Liew SC, Ghazoul J (2011) Remotely sensed evidence of tropical peatland conversion to oil palm. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108(12):5127–5132
- Kumaran S (2019) The dynamics for mandatory MSPO certification scheme to be successfully implemented. *J Oil Palm Environ Health* 10(148):1–7
- Kusin FM, Mat Akhir NI, Mohamat-Yusuff F, Awang M (2017) Greenhouse gas emissions during plantation stage of palm oil-based biofuel production addressing different land conversion scenarios in Malaysia. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 24(6):5293–5304
- Larbi AC, Zhao J, Wu JW (2018) Replacement of fish oil with palm oil: effects on growth performance, innate immune response, antioxidant capacity and disease resistance in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *PLoS ONE* 13(4):1–17
- Law LS, Sulaiman N, Gan WY, Adznam SN, Mohd Taib MN (2020) Predictors of overweight and obesity and its consequences among Senoi Orang Asli (indigenous people) women in Perak, Malaysia. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072354>
- Law L, Norhasmah S, Gan WY, Adznam SNA, Mohd Nasir M (2018) The identification of the factors related to household food insecurity among indigenous people (Orang Asli) in Peninsular Malaysia under traditional food systems. *Nutrients*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10101455>
- Lee JSH, Ghazoul J, Obidzinski K, Koh LP (2014) Oil palm smallholder yields and incomes constrained by harvesting practices and type of smallholder management in Indonesia. *Agron Sustain Dev* 34:501–513
- Li X, Yu X, Sun D, Li J, Wang Y et al (2017) Effects of polar compounds generated from the deep-frying process of palm Oil on lipid metabolism and glucose tolerance in Kunming mice. *J Agric Food Chem* 65(1):208–215
- Li W, Fu D, Su F, Xiao Y (2020) Spatial–temporal evolution and analysis of the driving force of oil palm patterns in Malaysia from 2000 to 2018. *ISPRS Int J Geo-Inf* 9(4):280
- Lim B (1999) Reptiles as potential biocontrol agents of pest rodents in plantation areas. In: Biological control in the tropics: towards efficient biodiversity and bioresource management for effective biological control. Proceedings of the symposium on biological control in the tropics, MARDI Training Centre, Serdang, Malaysia. CABI Publishing, pp 82–84
- Liu F, McShea WJ, Garshelis DL, Zhu X, Wang D et al (2011) Human-wildlife conflicts influence attitudes but not necessarily behaviors: Factors driving the poaching of bears in China. *Biol Conserv* 144(1):538–547
- Liu FHM, Ganesan V, Smith TEL (2020) Contrasting communications of sustainability science in the media coverage of palm oil agriculture on tropical peatlands in Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore. *Environ Sci Policy* 114:162–169
- Loganathan R, Subramaniam KM, Radhakrishnan AK, Choo YM, Teng KT (2017) Health-promoting effects of red palm oil: Evidence from animal and human studies. *Nutr Rev* 75(2):98–113
- Love K, Kurz DJ, Vaughan IP, Ke A, Evans LJ, Goossens B (2018) Bearded pig (*Sus barbatus*) utilisation of a fragmented forest–oil palm landscape in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *Wildl Res* 44(8):603–612
- Low M (2019) Making an impact: a case study of palm oil. In: King’s Impact Investing Society. <https://www.kingsimpactinvesting.com/single-post/2019/02/21/Making-an-Impact-A-Case-Study-of-Palm-Oil>. Accessed 17 Mar 2021
- Lucci P, Borrero M, Ruiz A, Pacetti D, Frega NG (2016) Palm oil and cardiovascular disease: a randomized trial of the effects of hybrid palm oil supplementation on human plasma lipid patterns. *Food Funct* 7(1):347–354
- Luke SH, Barclay H, Ewers RM, Foster WA, Nainar A et al (2017) The effects of catchment and riparian forest quality on stream environmental conditions across a tropical rainforest and oil palm landscape in Malaysian Borneo. *Ecology* 10:e1827
- Luke SH, Slade EM, Gray CL, Annammala KV, Drewer J et al (2019) Riparian buffers in tropical agriculture: Scientific support, effectiveness and directions for policy. *J Appl Ecol* 56(1):85–92
- Macedo MN, DeFries RS, Morton DC, Stickler CM, Galford GL et al (2012) Decoupling of deforestation and soy production in the Southern Amazon during the late 2000s. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109(4):1341–1346
- Magri TPR, Fernandes FS, Souza AS, Langhi LGP, Barboza T et al (2015) Interesterified fat or palm oil as substitutes for partially hydrogenated fat in maternal diet can predispose obesity in adult male offspring. *Clin Nutr* 34(5):904–910
- Mahat SBA (2012) The palm oil industry from the perspective of sustainable development: a case study of Malaysian palm oil industry. MSc dissertation. Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University of Japan
- Mai-Moulin T, Visser L, Fingermaier KR, Elbersen W, Elbersen B et al (2019) Sourcing overseas biomass for EU ambitions: assessing net sustainable export potential from various sourcing countries. *Biofuel Bioprod Biorefin* 13(2):293–324
- MOE (1979) Environmental quality (sewage and industrial effluents) regulations. Ministry of Environment and Environmental Quality Council, Kuala Lumpur
- Man N, Hamid H, Samah AA (2013) The impact of Agriculture Land Development Programme (ALDP) of Orang Asli Resettlement Plan Scheme (RPS) in Pahang, Malaysia. *Pertanika J Soc Sci Humanit* 21:63–78
- Mancini A, Imperlini E, Nigro E, Montagnese C, Daniele A (2015) Biological and nutritional properties of palm oil and palmitic acid: effects on health. *Molecules* 20(9):17339–17361
- Marangoni F, Galli C, Ghiselli A, Lercker G, La Vecchia C et al (2017) Palm oil and human health. Meeting report of NFI: Nutrition Foundation of Italy symposium. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 68(6):643–655
- Marczak LB, Sakamaki T, Turvey SL, Deguise I, Wood SLR et al (2010) Are forested buffers an effective conservation strategy for riparian fauna? An assessment using meta-analysis. *Ecol Appl* 20(1):126–134

- Martin R (2017) Palm Oil: economic and environmental impacts. In: European Parliamentary Research Service Blog. <https://epthinktank.eu/2018/02/19/palm-oil-economic-and-environmental-impacts/>. Accessed 17 Mar 2021
- Matysek M, Evers S, Samuel MK, Sjogersten S (2018) High heterotrophic CO₂ emissions from a Malaysian oil palm plantations during dry-season. *Wetl Ecol Manag* 26(3):415–424
- Mba OI, Dumont MJ, Ngadi M (2015) Palm oil: processing, characterization and utilization in the food Industry—a review. *Food Biosci* 10:26–41
- McCarthy JF (2010) Processes of inclusion and adverse incorporation: Oil palm and agrarian change in Sumatra, Indonesia. *J Peasant Stud* 37(4):821–850
- Meijaard E, Garcia-Ulloa J, Sheil D, Wich SA, Carlson KM et al (2018) Oil palm and biodiversity: a situation analysis by the IUCN oil palm task force. In: IUCN, International Union for Conservation of Nature. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH.2018.11.en>. Accessed 17 Mar 2021
- Meijaard E, Brooks T, Carlson KM, Slade EM, Garcia-Ulloa J et al (2020) The environmental impacts of palm oil in context. *Earth-ArXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.31223/osf.io/e69bz>
- Meijaard E, Sheil D (2019) The moral minefield of ethical oil palm and sustainable development. *Front for Glob Change* 2:22. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgc.2019.00022>
- Mekhilef S, Siga S, Saidur R (2011) A review on palm oil biodiesel as a source of renewable fuel. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 15(4):1937–1949. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2010.12.012>
- Melling L, Hatano R, Goh KJ (2005) Soil CO₂ flux from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Tellus B Chem Phys Meteorol* 57(1):1–11
- Melling L, Hatano R, Goh KJ (2007) Nitrous oxide emissions from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 53(6):792–805
- Melling L, Goh KJ, Beauvais C, Hatano R (2008) Carbon flow and budget in young mature oil palm agroecosystem on deep tropical peat. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228503110>. Accessed 10 Oct 2021
- Melling L, Chaddy A, Goh KJ, Hatano R (2013) Soil CO₂ fluxes from different ages of oil palm in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia as influenced by environmental and soil properties. *Acta Hort* 982:25–35
- Miettinen J, Shi C, Liew SC (2017) Fire distribution in Peninsular Malaysia, Sumatra and Borneo in 2015 with special emphasis on peatland fires. *Environ Manag* 60(4):747–757
- Migeon AF (2018) Assessing the possibilities of intercropping oil palm and pepper, under the double-row avenue system. MSc Dissertation. Wageningen University
- Mitchell SL, Edwards DP, Bernard H, Coomes D, Jucker T et al (2018) Riparian reserves help protect forest bird communities in oil palm dominated landscapes. *J Appl Ecol* 55:2744–2755
- MPOB (2017) Overview of the Malaysian Oil Palm Industry 2016. In: Malaysian Palm Oil Board. <http://www.mpob.gov.my/>. Accessed 20 Mar 2021
- MPOB (2018) Overview of the Malaysian Oil Palm Industry 2017. In: Malaysian Palm Oil Board. <http://www.mpob.gov.my/>. Accessed 20 Mar 2021
- MPOB (2020) Economic and Industry Development Division. In: Malaysian Palm Oil Board. <http://bepi.mpob.gov.my/index.php/en/>. Accessed 20 Mar 2021
- Myers N, Mittermeyer RA, Mittermeyer CG, Da Fonseca GAB, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501>
- Nainar A, Tanaka N, Bidin K, Annammala KV, Ewers RM et al (2018) Hydrological dynamics of tropical streams on a gradient of land-use disturbance and recovery: a multi-catchment experiment. *J Hydrol* 566:581–594
- Nepstad D, McGrath D, Stickler C, Alencar A, Azevedo A et al (2014) Slowing Amazon deforestation through public policy and interventions in beef and soy supply chains. *Science* 344(6188):1118–1123. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248525>
- Nesadurai EHS (2013) Food security, the palm oil–land conflict nexus, and sustainability: a governance role for a private multi-stakeholder regime like the RSPO? *Pac Rev*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09512748.2013.842311>
- Nilsson M, Chisholm E, Griggs D, Howden-Chapman P, McCollum D et al (2018) Mapping interactions between the sustainable development goals: lessons learned and ways forward. *Sustain Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-018-0604-z>
- Nor Azman N, Goon J, Abdul Ghani S, Hamid Z, Ngah W (2018) Comparing palm oil, tocotrienol-rich fraction and α -tocopherol supplementation on the antioxidant levels of older adults. *Antioxidants*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox7060074>
- Nurhidayu S, Hakeem KR (2017) Climate variability in relation with land use changes over a 30-year period in Kelantan River Basin. *Malays for* 80(1):12–30
- Obidzinski K, Andriani H, Komarudin R, Andrianto A (2012) Environmental and social impacts of oil palm plantations and their implications for biofuel production in Indonesia. *Ecol Soc* 17(1):25
- Odia OJ (2015) Palm oil and the heart: a review. *World J Cardiol* 7(3):144–149
- Pacheco P, Schoneveld G, Dermawan A, Komarudin H, Djama M (2018) Governing sustainable palm oil supply: disconnects, complementarities, and antagonisms between state regulations and private standards. *Regul Gov*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/regi.12220>
- Padfield R, Drew S, Syayuti K, Page S, Evers S et al (2016) Landscapes in transition: An analysis of sustainable policy initiatives and emerging corporate commitments in the palm oil industry. *Landsc Res* 41(7):744–756
- Padfield R, Hansen S, Davies ZG, Ehrensperger A, Slade EM et al (2019) Co-producing a research agenda for sustainable palm oil. *Front for Glob Change* 2:13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgc.2019.00013>
- Page SE, Hooijer A (2016) In the line of fire: the peatlands of Southeast Asia. *Phil. Trans R Soc*. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0176>
- Page S, Hoscilo A, Langner A, Tansey K, Siegert F et al (2009) Tropical peatland fires in Southeast Asia. In: Cochrane MA (ed) *Tropical fire ecology*. Springer Praxis Books. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-77381-8_9
- Page SE, Rieley JO, Banks CJ (2011) Global and regional importance of the tropical peatland carbon pool. *Glob Change Biol* 17(2):798–818
- Pearson TR, Brown S, Murray L, Sidman G (2017) Greenhouse gas emissions from tropical forest degradation: an underestimated source. *Carbon Balance Manag* 12(1):1–11
- Pemandu (2010) Performance management and delivery unit. Economic Transformation Programme (ETP)—a roadmap for Malaysia. Prime Minister’s Department, Malaysia
- Pignitter M, Hernler N, Zaunshirm M, Kienesberger J, Somoza MM et al (2016) Evaluation of palm oil as a suitable vegetable oil for vitamin A fortification programs. *Nutrients* 8(6):1–13
- Pillai A (2020) The effectiveness of the high conservation value toolkit for biodiversity conservation. BSc thesis. University of Nottingham Malaysia
- Pirker J, Mosnier A, Kraxner F, Havlík P, Obersteiner M (2016) What are the limits to oil palm expansion? *Glob Environ Change* 40:73–81
- Puan CL, Goldizen AW, Zakaria M, Hafidzi MN, Baxter GS (2011) Relationships among rat numbers, abundance of oil palm fruit and damage levels to fruit in an oil palm plantation. *Integr Zool* 6(2):130–139

- Qaim M, Sibhatu KT, Siregar H, Grass I (2020) Environmental, economic, and social consequences of the oil palm boom. *Annu Rev Resour Econ* 12:321–344
- Rajaratnam R, Sunquist M, Rajaratnam L, Ambu L (2007) Diet and habitat selection of the leopard cat (*Prionailurus bengalensis borneensis*) in an agricultural landscape in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *J Trop Ecol* 23:209–217
- Ramli R, Fauzi A (2018) Nesting biology of black-shouldered kite (*Elanus caeruleus*) in oil palm landscape in Carey Island, Peninsular Malaysia. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 25(3):513–519
- Rist LL, Feintrenie L, Levang P (2010) The livelihood impacts of oil palm: smallholders in Indonesia. *Biodivers Conserv* 19(4):1009–1024
- Rochmyaningsih D (2015) Indigenous peoples must benefit from science. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/526477a>
- RSPO (2017) RSPO certification systems for principles and criteria—June 2017. Roundtable for Sustainable Palm Oil. <http://www.rspo.org/key-documents/certification/rspo-certification-systems>. Accessed 20 Mar 2021
- RSPO (2018) RSPO smallholders definition. Roundtable for sustainable palm oil. <https://www.rspo.org/smallholders/rspo-smallholders-definition>. Accessed 20 Mar 2021
- Rubinsin NJ, Wan Daud WR, Kamarudin SK, Masdar MS, Rosli MI et al (2020) Optimization of oil palm empty fruit bunches value chain in Peninsular Malaysia. *Food Bioprod Process* 119:179–194
- Rupani PF, Singh RP, Ibrahim MH, Esa F (2010) Review of current palm oil mill effluent (POME) treatment methods: vermicomposting as a sustainable practice. *World Appl Sci J* 10:1190–1201
- Ruppert N, Holzner A, See KW, Gisbrecht A, Beck A (2018) Activity budgets and habitat use of wild southern pig-tailed macaques (*Macaca nemestrina*) in oil palm plantation and forest. *Int J Primatol* 39(2):237–251
- Saaban S, Othman NB, Yasak MNB, Mohd Nor B, Zafir A et al (2011) Current status of Asian elephants in Peninsular Malaysia. *Gajah* 35:67–75
- Saadatkah N, Hadad Tehrani MH, Mansor S, Khuzaimah Z et al (2016) Impact assessment of land cover changes on the runoff changes on the extreme flood events in the Kelantan River Basin. *Arab J Geosci*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-016-2716-z>
- Sales RC, Medeiros PC, Spreafico F, de Velasco PC, Gonçalves FKA et al (2019) Olive oil, palm oil, and hybrid palm oil distinctly modulate liver transcriptome and induce NAFLD in mice fed a high-fat diet. *Int J Mol Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20010008>
- Salim H, Noor HM, Hamid NH, Omar D, Kasim A et al (2014) Secondary poisoning of captive barn owls, *Tyto alba javanica*, through feeding with rats poisoned with Chlorophacinone and Bromadiolone. *J Oil Palm Res* 26(1):62–72
- Salimon J, Salih N, Yousif E (2012) Industrial development and applications of plant oils and their biobased oleochemicals. *Arab J Chem*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2010.08.007>
- Samedani B, Juraimi AS, Rafii MY, Sheikh Awadz SSA, Anwar MP et al (2015) Effect of cover crops on weed suppression in oil palm plantation. *Int J Agric Biol* 17(2):251–260
- Santika T, Wilson KA, Meijaard E, Budiharta S, Law EE et al (2019) Changing landscapes, livelihoods and village welfare in the context of oil palm development. *Land Use Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.104073>
- Saufi S, Ravindran S, Hamid NH, Abidin CMRZ, Ahmad H et al (2020) Establishment of barn owls (*Tyto alba javanica*) in an urban area on Penang Island, Malaysia. *J Raptor Res* 54(3):265–272
- Seguí L, Maupoey PF (2018) An integrated approach for pineapple waste valorisation. Bioethanol production and Bromelain extraction from pineapple residues. *J Clean Prod* 172:1224–1231
- Selby-Pham SNB, Siow LF, Bennett LE (2020) Characterising absorption and health-related properties of phytochemicals extracted from Malaysian palm fruit biomass after oil extraction. *Food Funct*. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9FO01149H>
- Senior MJM, Brown E, Villalpando P, Hill JK (2015) Increasing the scientific evidence base in the ‘High Conservation Value’ (HCV) approach for biodiversity conservation in managed tropical landscapes. *Conserv Lett*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12148>
- Sharvini SR, Noor ZZ, Chong CS, Stringer LC, Glew D (2020) Energy generation from palm oil mill effluent: a life cycle assessment of two biogas technologies. *Energy* 191:116513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116513>
- Sheikhy NT, Sefie A, Aris AZ (2018) The long-term impacts of anthropogenic and natural processes on groundwater deterioration in a multilayered aquifer. *Sci Total Environ* 630:931–942
- Sheil D, Casson A, Meijaard E, van Noordwijk M, Gaskell J et al (2009) The impacts and opportunities of oil palm in Southeast Asia: what do we know and what do we need to know? Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), Bogor. <https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor/002792>
- Sheldon RA (2014) Green and sustainable manufacture of chemicals from biomass: state of the art. *Green Chem* 16(3):950–963
- Sherman J, Ancrenaz A, Voigt M, Oram F, Santika T et al (2020) Envisioning a future for Bornean orangutans: conservation impacts of action plan implementation and recommendations for improved population outcomes. *Biodiversitas* 21(2):465–477
- Shevade VS, Loboda TV (2019) Oil palm plantations in Peninsular Malaysia: determinants and constraints on expansion. *PLoS ONE*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210628>
- Silmi M, Mislani M, Anggara S, Dahlen B (2013) Using leopard cats (*Prionailurus bengalensis*) as biological pest control of rats in a palm oil plantation. *J Nat Hist* 1(1):31–36
- Simon D, Davies G, Ancrenaz M (2019) Changes to Sabah’s orangutan population in recent times: 2002–2017. *PLoS ONE* 14(7):e0218819. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218819>
- Sin Teh S, Ong ASH, Choo YM, Mah SH (2018) *Sn-2* hypothesis: a review of the effects of palm oil on blood lipid levels. *J Oleo Sci* 67(6):697–706
- Sőzer R (2016) Public summary of HCV assessment Asian Plantations Limited (APL) Miri division, Sarawak State, East Malaysia. <https://www.hcvrn.egnyte.com/dl/bsKpdilUGq/>. Accessed 19 Mar 2021
- Stomph D (2017) Smallholder oil palm: space for diversification? MSc dissertation. Wageningen University
- Sun Y, Neelakantan N, Wu YW, Lote-Oke R, Pan A et al (2015) Palm oil consumption increases LDL cholesterol compared with vegetable oils low in saturated fat in a meta-analysis of clinical trials. *J Nutr*. <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.115.210575>
- Szulczyk KR, Cheema MA (2020) The economic feasibility and environmental ramifications of biobutanol production in Malaysia. *J Clean Prod*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124953>
- Tan XY (2019) Kok to propose expansion cap on M’sian oil palm estates at 6.5 mil ha by 2023. *The Edge Markets*. <https://www.theedgemarkets.com/article/kok-propose-expansion-cap-msian-oil-palm-estates-65-mil-ha-2023>. Accessed 10 Oct 2021
- Tan SMQ, Quinn CY, Ahmad B, Abdul Kadir K (2018) Tocotrienol-rich vitamin E from palm oil (Tocovid) and its effects in diabetes and diabetic nephropathy: a pilot phase II clinical trial. *Nutrients*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10091315>
- Tang KHD, Al Qahtani HMS (2020) Sustainability of oil palm plantations in Malaysia. *Environ Dev Sustain* 22:4999–5023
- Tao HH, Donough C, Hoffmann MP, Lim LY, Hendra H et al (2017) Effects of best management practices on dry matter production and fruit production efficiency of oil palm. *Eur J Agron* 90:209–215
- Teoh CH (2002) The palm oil industry in Malaysia: from seed to frying pan. In: *Semantic scholar*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/THE-PALM-OIL-INDUSTRY-IN-MALAY>

- SIA-From-Seed-to-Pan-Hai/aebb021ccd799e098f2f1c6abc0917cc6eb18f. Accessed 19 Mar 2021
- Tong YS (2017) Vertical specialisation or linkage development for agro-commodity value chain upgrading? The case of Malaysian palm oil. *Land Use Policy* 68:585–596
- Truckell IG, Shah SH, Baillie IC, Hallett SH, Sakrabani R (2019) Soil and transport factors in potential distribution systems for biofertilisers derived from palm oil mill residues in Malaysia. *Comput Electron Agric* 166:105005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2019.105005>
- Uda SK, Hein L, Atmoko D (2019) Assessing the health impacts of peatland fires: a case study for Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 26:31315–31327. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06264-x>
- Uning R, Latif MT, Othman M, Juneng L, Mohd Hanif N et al (2020) A review of Southeast Asian oil palm and its CO₂ fluxes. *Sustainability* 12:5077. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12125077>
- USDA (2021) Palm oil 2021, World production. United States Department of Agriculture. https://www.ipad.fas.usda.gov/cropexplorer/cropview/commodityView.aspx?cropid=4243000&sel_year=2021&rankby=Production. Accessed 25 July 2021
- van Leeuwen S (2019) Analysis of a pineapple-oil palm intercropping system in Malaysia. MSc dissertation, Wageningen University
- Vijay V, Pimm SL, Jenkins CN, Smith SJ (2016) The impacts of oil palm on recent deforestation and biodiversity loss. *PLoS ONE* 11(7):e0159668
- Wahab AG (2018) Malaysia biofuels annual. GAIN Global Agricultural Network. USDA Foreign Agricultural Service. Kuala Lumpur
- Walden M (2019) Why boycotting palm oil achieves nothing. In: *The interpreter*. <https://www.lowyinstitute.org/the-interpreter/why-boycotting-palm-oil-achieves-nothing>. Accessed 17 Mar 2021
- Wan Daud WAH, Mohsin A, Rahman MSA (2020) Land ownership for Orang Asli in Malaysia: current situation. *Int J Sci Technol Res* 9(3):4998–5002
- Wan Mohd Jaafar WS, Said NFS, Abdul Maulud KN, Uning R, Latif MT et al (2020) Carbon emissions from oil palm induced forest and peatland conversion in Sabah and Sarawak, Malaysia. *Forests* 11(12):1285
- Wang WY, Foster WA (2015) The effects of forest conversion to oil palm on ground-foraging ant communities depend on beta diversity and sampling grain. *Ecol Evol* 5(15):3159–3170
- Wearn OR, Rowcliffe MJ, Carbone C, Pfeifer M, Bernard H et al (2017) Mammalian species abundance across a gradient of tropical land-use intensity: a hierarchical multi-species modelling approach. *Biol Conserv* 212:162–171
- Wicke B (2011) Bioenergy production on degraded and marginal land. Dissertation, Utrecht University
- Wilting A, Sollmann R, Meijaard E, Helgen KM, Fickel J (2012) Mentawai's endemic, relictual fauna: Is it evidence for Pleistocene extinctions on Sumatra? *J Biogeogr* 39(9):1608–1620
- Woittiez LS, van Wijk MT, Slingerland M, van Noordwijk M, Giller KE (2017) Yield gaps in oil palm: a quantitative review of contributing factors. *Eur J Agron*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2016.11.002>
- Wolf HA (1996) Deforestation in Cambodia and Malaysia: the case for an international legal solution. *Pac Rim L & Pol'y J* 5:429–455. <https://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/pacrimlp5&id=437&div=&collection=>
- Wong ST, Belant JL, Sollmann R, Mohamed A, Niedballa J et al (2018) Habitat associations of the Sunda stink-badger *Mydaus javanensis* in three forest reserves in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *Mammal Biol* 88:75–80
- Yahya MS, Puan CL, Atikah SN, Azhar B (2020) Density and diversity of nocturnal birds in oil palm smallholdings in Peninsular Malaysia. *J Oil Palm Res* 32:57–63
- Yajima K, Iwayama K, Ogata H, Park I, Tokuyama K (2018) Meal rich in rapeseed oil increases 24-h fat oxidation more than meal rich in palm oil. *PLoS ONE* 13(6):1–12
- Yamada T, Watanabe K, Okuda T, Sugimoto T, Noor Azlin Y (2016) Growth and survival of trees planted in an oil palm plantation: implications for restoration of biodiversity. *J Trop for Sci* 28(1):97–105
- Yashiro Y, Kadir WR, Adachi M, Okuda T, Koizumi H (2007) Emission of nitrous oxide from tropical forest and plantation soils in Peninsular Malaysia. *Tropics* 17(1):17–23
- Yashiro Y, Kadir WR, Okuda T, Koizumi H (2008) The effects of logging on soil greenhouse gas (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) flux in a tropical rain forest, Peninsular Malaysia. *Agric for Meteorol* 148(5):799–806
- Yue S, Brodie JF, Zipkin EF, Bernard H (2015) Oil palm plantations fail to support mammal diversity. *Ecol Appl* 25(8):2285–2292
- Zahari MAKM, Ariffin H, Mokhtar MN, Salihon J, Shirai Y et al (2015) Case study for a palm biomass biorefinery utilizing renewable non-food sugars from oil palm frond for the production of poly(3-Hydroxybutyrate) bioplastic. *J Clean Prod* 87:284–290

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.